

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE FOLLOWING IN STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
QUALITY OF LIFE	1 - Poor	1%		1%	1%		19%		
	2 - Not so good	4%		6%	3%		81%		
	3 - About Average	25%	50%	26%	21%	33%		100%	
	4 - Good	47%	50%	47%	47%	48%			67%
	5 - Excellent	23%		20%	28%	20%			33%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.9	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.9	1.8	3.0	4.3
Median		4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	4.0
n =		318	2	94	148	40	16	79	223
SENSE OF COMMUNITY	1 - Poor	1%		1%	1%		13%	3%	
	2 - Not so good	8%		8%	8%	3%	20%	11%	6%
	3 - About Average	25%		28%	23%	36%	20%	48%	17%
	4 - Good	41%		46%	41%	31%	33%	32%	45%
	5 - Excellent	25%	100%	17%	27%	31%	13%	7%	32%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	5.0	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.1	3.3	4.0
Median		4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		303	1	92	140	36	15	75	213

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW HAS STRASBURG CHANGED OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS IN REGARDS TO...		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
QUALITY OF LIFE	1 - Gotten Worse	13%		12%	16%	5%	33%	22%	8%
	2 - Stayed the same	45%		55%	36%	49%	40%	48%	44%
	3 - Improved	23%	50%	24%	25%	23%	13%	15%	26%
	4 - Improved in some respects/worse in others	19%	50%	10%	22%	23%	13%	15%	21%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.5	3.5	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.1	2.2	2.6
Median		2.0	3.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
n =		297	2	84	140	39	15	73	209
SENSE OF COMMUNITY	1 - Gotten Worse	20%		25%	19%	3%	21%	29%	17%
	2 - Stayed the same	51%		60%	47%	56%	50%	58%	49%
	3 - Improved	15%		9%	17%	24%	14%	7%	18%
	4 - Improved in some respects/worse in others	14%	100%	6%	17%	18%	14%	6%	16%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.2	4.0	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.2	1.9	2.3
Median		2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
n =		285	1	85	134	34	14	69	202

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
DO YOU THINK OF STRASBURG AS A GENUINE TOWN OR MORE OF A SUBURB/ BEDROOM COMMUNITY	Strasburg feels like a 'town'	59%		47%	67%	65%	44%	40%	67%
	Strasburg feels like a suburb/bedroom community	38%	100%	46%	31%	35%	56%	55%	31%
	Don't know/unsure	3%		6%	2%			5%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	317	2	95	146	40	16	78	221

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

ARE YOU AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING:		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AWARE OF: ANGELS OF THE CORRIDOR	Yes	41%		40%	42%	49%	20%	41%	42%
	No	59%	100%	60%	58%	51%	80%	59%	58%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	292	2	91	135	35	15	68	207
AWARE OF: ADAMS COUNTY GOVERNMENT ENTITIES	Yes	79%	50%	78%	80%	86%	73%	74%	81%
	No	21%	50%	22%	20%	14%	27%	26%	19%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	288	2	88	134	35	15	68	203
AWARE OF: ARAPAHOE COUNTY GOVERNMENT ENTITIES	Yes	68%		67%	70%	73%	69%	63%	70%
	No	32%	100%	33%	30%	27%	31%	37%	30%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	276	2	89	128	30	13	68	193
AWARE OF: TDS TELECOM	Yes	96%	50%	97%	96%	97%	86%	96%	97%
	No	4%	50%	3%	4%	3%	14%	4%	3%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	294	2	88	142	36	14	68	210

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

ARE YOU AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING:		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AWARE OF: STRASBURG SENIORS	Yes	50%		38%	56%	76%	21%	47%	53%
	No	50%	100%	63%	44%	24%	79%	53%	47%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	289	2	88	133	38	14	66	207
AWARE OF: STRASBURG FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	Yes	96%	50%	96%	96%	100%	93%	93%	98%
	No	4%	50%	4%	4%		7%	7%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	297	2	89	141	38	14	71	209
AWARE OF: STRASBURG METRO PARK & RECREATION	Yes	93%	50%	97%	91%	92%	93%	86%	95%
	No	7%	50%	3%	9%	8%	7%	14%	5%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	298	2	88	141	38	14	72	210
AWARE OF: STRASBURG RESCUE SQUAD	Yes	80%		70%	88%	87%	93%	75%	81%
	No	20%	100%	30%	12%	13%	7%	25%	19%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	295	2	88	137	38	14	69	210

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

ARE YOU AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING:		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AWARE OF: STRASBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT	Yes	97%	100%	98%	96%	97%	100%	92%	99%
	No	3%		2%	4%	3%		8%	1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	295	2	87	140	38	13	71	209
AWARE OF: STRASBURG STREET DECORATING COMMITTEE	Yes	89%	100%	83%	91%	95%	93%	88%	88%
	No	11%		17%	9%	5%	7%	12%	12%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	296	2	86	141	38	14	69	211
AWARE OF: STRASBURG WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT	Yes	82%	50%	80%	83%	92%	79%	81%	83%
	No	18%	50%	20%	17%	8%	21%	19%	17%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	294	2	89	138	37	14	68	210
AWARE OF: EASTERN ADAMS COUNTY METRO DISTRICT	Yes	40%	50%	47%	37%	38%	14%	47%	40%
	No	60%	50%	53%	63%	62%	86%	53%	60%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	289	2	90	131	37	14	68	205

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

ARE YOU AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING:		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AWARE OF: INTERMOUNTAIN RURAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION (REA)	Yes	99%	50%	99%	99%	100%	100%	99%	99%
	No	1%	50%	1%	1%			1%	1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	297	2	88	141	38	14	72	209
AWARE OF: HIGH FIVE PLAINS FOUNDATION	Yes	59%		57%	61%	63%	36%	49%	63%
	No	41%	100%	43%	39%	37%	64%	51%	37%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	294	2	88	136	38	14	70	208
AWARE OF: I-70 CORRIDOR CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Yes	76%		71%	83%	71%	86%	69%	78%
	No	24%	100%	29%	17%	29%	14%	31%	22%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	294	2	91	136	38	14	68	210
AWARE OF: REGIONAL ECONOMIC ADVANCEMENT PARTNERSHIP (REAP)	Yes	36%		32%	41%	30%	20%	36%	38%
	No	64%	100%	68%	59%	70%	80%	64%	62%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	292	2	91	135	37	15	67	208

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: ANGELS OF THE CORRIDOR	Average	4.2	.	3.9	4.5	3.9	4.5	4.1	4.3
	n =	74	0	16	39	13	2	19	53
SATISFACTION WITH: ADAMS COUNTY GOVERNMENT ENTITIES	Average	3.4	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.0	3.6
	n =	190	1	61	83	31	10	48	131
SATISFACTION WITH: ARAPAHOE COUNTY GOVERNMENT ENTITIES	Average	3.2	.	3.2	3.3	3.4	2.8	2.7	3.5
	n =	156	0	47	72	20	8	42	105
SATISFACTION WITH: TDS TELECOM	Average	3.2	2.0	3.1	3.2	3.5	2.8	2.8	3.4
	n =	275	1	84	128	37	13	69	191
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG SENIORS	Average	3.9	.	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.6	4.0
	n =	103	0	18	51	25	3	29	70
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	Average	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.6	4.1
	n =	268	1	80	127	35	15	61	190
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG METRO PARK & RECREATION	Average	3.2	1.0	3.0	3.3	3.4	2.4	2.8	3.4
	n =	255	1	81	115	35	14	58	181
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG RESCUE SQUAD	Average	4.0	.	3.7	4.2	4.2	3.5	3.7	4.2
	n =	211	0	55	108	30	12	50	148
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT	Average	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.1	3.2	3.4	4.1
	n =	248	2	80	108	34	12	62	172
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG STREET DECORATING	Average	4.4	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.5	3.9	4.1	4.5
	n =	252	2	73	118	34	14	60	176
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT	Average	3.6	3.0	3.4	3.6	4.2	2.8	3.3	3.8
	n =	157	1	44	74	26	9	41	106
SATISFACTION WITH: EASTERN ADAMS COUNTY METRO	Average	3.0	4.0	2.8	3.1	3.6	2.7	2.5	3.3
	n =	85	1	32	35	10	3	24	57
SATISFACTION WITH: INTERMOUNTAIN RURAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION	Average	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.6	3.7	3.9	4.2
	n =	285	1	90	129	38	14	70	199
SATISFACTION WITH: HIGH FIVE PLAINS FOUNDATION	Average	3.6	.	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.2	2.9	3.8
	n =	113	0	24	62	21	5	25	82
SATISFACTION WITH: I-70 CORRIDOR CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Average	3.4	.	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.0	2.8	3.7
	n =	148	0	40	74	21	11	35	101
SATISFACTION WITH: REGIONAL ECONOMIC ADVANCEMENT	Average	3.3	.	2.9	3.5	2.9	3.7	2.9	3.4
	n =	63	0	11	38	10	3	18	42

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: ANGELS OF THE CORRIDOR	2	3%				15%		11%	
	3	16%		25%	10%	23%		11%	19%
	4	35%		56%	33%	15%	50%	42%	32%
	5 - Very Satisfied	46%		19%	56%	46%	50%	37%	49%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		4.2	.	3.9	4.5	3.9	4.5	4.1	4.3
Median		4.0	.	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
n =		74	0	16	39	13	2	19	53
SATISFACTION WITH: ADAMS COUNTY GOVERNMENT ENTITIES	1 - Not at all Satisfied	2%			2%	3%		4%	2%
	2	11%		11%	12%	6%		21%	8%
	3	46%	100%	59%	40%	39%	80%	46%	43%
	4	28%		18%	30%	35%	20%	25%	30%
	5 - Very Satisfied	14%		11%	16%	16%		4%	18%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.0	3.6
Median		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		190	1	61	83	31	10	48	131

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: ARAPAHOE COUNTY GOVERNMENT ENTITIES	1 - Not at all Satisfied	8%		6%	6%	10%		12%	7%
	2	12%		9%	14%	10%	25%	24%	7%
	3	40%		55%	39%	25%	75%	50%	32%
	4	31%		21%	31%	45%		14%	40%
	5 - Very Satisfied	10%		9%	11%	10%			14%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	.	3.2	3.3	3.4	2.8	2.7	3.5
Median		3.0	.	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		156	0	47	72	20	8	42	105
SATISFACTION WITH: TDS TELECOM	1 - Not at all Satisfied	11%		13%	10%	8%	15%	23%	6%
	2	15%	100%	19%	13%	8%	23%	19%	13%
	3	29%		26%	35%	27%	31%	26%	30%
	4	31%		26%	27%	41%	31%	20%	34%
	5 - Very Satisfied	15%		15%	14%	16%		12%	17%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	2.0	3.1	3.2	3.5	2.8	2.8	3.4
Median		3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		275	1	84	128	37	13	69	191

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG SENIORS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	1%		6%					1%
	2	3%			2%	4%	33%	3%	1%
	3	35%		33%	33%	28%		55%	29%
	4	31%		39%	31%	32%	33%	24%	34%
	5 - Very Satisfied	30%		22%	33%	36%	33%	17%	34%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.9	.	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.6	4.0
Median		4.0	.	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
n =		103	0	18	51	25	3	29	70
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	1 - Not at all Satisfied	2%		4%	1%	6%		3%	2%
	2	5%		5%	6%	3%	20%	7%	4%
	3	21%		26%	19%	14%	13%	34%	18%
	4	37%	100%	43%	34%	26%	47%	39%	35%
	5 - Very Satisfied	35%		23%	41%	51%	20%	16%	41%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		4.0	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.6	4.1
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		268	1	80	127	35	15	61	190

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG METRO PARK & RECREATION	1 - Not at all Satisfied	11%	100%	14%	10%	11%	29%	14%	9%
	2	15%		19%	13%	9%	21%	24%	10%
	3	32%		31%	34%	31%	36%	40%	30%
	4	26%		27%	24%	26%	14%	16%	30%
	5 - Very Satisfied	16%		10%	19%	23%		7%	20%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	1.0	3.0	3.3	3.4	2.4	2.8	3.4
Median		3.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	4.0
n =		255	1	81	115	35	14	58	181
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG RESCUE SQUAD	1 - Not at all Satisfied	4%		9%		10%	8%	6%	3%
	2	4%		5%	4%		8%	4%	4%
	3	18%		22%	19%	3%	17%	28%	14%
	4	32%		33%	31%	30%	58%	38%	28%
	5 - Very Satisfied	42%		31%	46%	57%	8%	24%	51%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		4.0	.	3.7	4.2	4.2	3.5	3.7	4.2
Median		4.0	.	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
n =		211	0	55	108	30	12	50	148

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT	1 - Not at all Satisfied	3%		3%	4%		8%	3%	2%
	2	6%		6%	7%	6%	25%	10%	4%
	3	22%	50%	20%	22%	21%	17%	42%	16%
	4	36%		36%	35%	29%	42%	34%	35%
	5 - Very Satisfied	33%	50%	35%	31%	44%	8%	11%	42%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.1	3.2	3.4	4.1
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.0	4.0
n =		248	2	80	108	34	12	62	172
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG STREET DECORATING COMMITTEE	1 - Not at all Satisfied	1%			1%	3%		2%	1%
	2	2%		1%	2%		21%		1%
	3	11%		8%	15%	9%	7%	25%	7%
	4	30%	100%	30%	31%	24%	29%	35%	29%
	5 - Very Satisfied	56%		60%	52%	65%	43%	38%	63%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		4.4	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.5	3.9	4.1	4.5
Median		5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
n =		252	2	73	118	34	14	60	176

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: STRASBURG WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT	1 - Not at all Satisfied	4%		2%	4%	4%	11%	10%	2%
	2	9%		11%	8%	4%	33%	10%	7%
	3	34%	100%	41%	38%	12%	22%	34%	35%
	4	31%		34%	27%	35%	33%	37%	27%
	5 - Very Satisfied	22%		11%	23%	46%		10%	29%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.6	3.0	3.4	3.6	4.2	2.8	3.3	3.8
Median		4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		157	1	44	74	26	9	41	106
SATISFACTION WITH: EASTERN ADAMS COUNTY METRO DISTRICT	1 - Not at all Satisfied	13%		9%	17%	10%		21%	11%
	2	19%		28%	9%	20%	67%	25%	14%
	3	32%		38%	37%	10%		42%	28%
	4	25%	100%	22%	26%	20%	33%	13%	30%
	5 - Very Satisfied	12%		3%	11%	40%			18%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.0	4.0	2.8	3.1	3.6	2.7	2.5	3.3
Median		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
n =		85	1	32	35	10	3	24	57

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: INTERMOUNTAIN RURAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION (REA)	1 - Not at all Satisfied	2%		2%	1%	3%	7%	3%	1%
	2	1%		2%	1%			3%	1%
	3	17%		19%	18%	3%	21%	23%	15%
	4	42%	100%	44%	44%	29%	57%	44%	40%
	5 - Very Satisfied	38%		32%	36%	66%	14%	27%	44%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		4.1	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.6	3.7	3.9	4.2
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		285	1	90	129	38	14	70	199
SATISFACTION WITH: HIGH FIVE PLAINS FOUNDATION	1 - Not at all Satisfied	6%			8%	10%		12%	5%
	2	10%		8%	13%	5%	40%	16%	6%
	3	29%		42%	26%	29%	20%	48%	23%
	4	29%		25%	27%	33%	20%	16%	34%
	5 - Very Satisfied	26%		25%	26%	24%	20%	8%	32%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.6	.	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.2	2.9	3.8
Median		4.0	.	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		113	0	24	62	21	5	25	82

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DISTRICTS/BOARDS/ORGANIZATIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT			QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SATISFACTION WITH: I-70 CORRIDOR CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	1 - Not at all Satisfied	5%	5%	7%	5%	9%	9%	4%
	2	9%	13%	5%	10%	9%	23%	4%
	3	41%	50%	41%	24%	64%	51%	35%
	4	28%	18%	28%	48%	9%	14%	35%
	5 - Very Satisfied	17%	15%	19%	14%	9%	3%	23%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.0	2.8	3.7
Median		3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		148	40	74	21	11	35	101
SATISFACTION WITH: REGIONAL ECONOMIC ADVANCEMENT PARTNERSHIP (REAP)	1 - Not at all Satisfied	6%	9%	5%	10%		11%	5%
	2	16%	18%	11%	30%		17%	17%
	3	37%	45%	32%	40%	67%	50%	29%
	4	25%	27%	32%			17%	31%
	5 - Very Satisfied	16%		21%	20%	33%	6%	19%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.3	2.9	3.5	2.9	3.7	2.9	3.4
Median		3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.5
n =		63	11	38	10	3	18	42

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ARE YOU AWARE OF THE EVENTS THAT TAKE PLACE IN STRASBURG AND HAVE YOU OR A FAMILY MEMBER ATTENDED ONE OF THESE EVENTS	Yes, aware of the events & someone has attended	83%	50%	85%	84%	79%	81%	69%	88%
	Yes, aware of the events, but no one has attended	14%		13%	12%	18%	13%	25%	10%
	No, I am not aware of these events	3%	50%	2%	4%	3%	6%	6%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	318	2	95	148	39	16	77	222

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY FUNCTIONS & SERVICES		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
APPEARANCE OF TOWN	Average	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.7	3.7	2.5	3.3	3.8
	n =	315	2	94	145	41	16	76	220
AVAILABILITY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING	Average	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.4	2.8	3.1	3.5
	n =	260	2	77	120	31	14	65	180
COMMUNICATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION	Average	3.1	4.5	3.0	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.7	3.2
	n =	261	2	82	121	29	14	63	181
HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROGRAMS	Average	3.7	2.5	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.2	3.2	3.9
	n =	240	2	64	111	36	13	56	168
PLANNING AND APPROVAL PROCESS FOR NEW AND EXISTING DEVELOPMENT	Average	2.6	3.5	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.7
	n =	201	2	60	91	26	13	52	134
PRESERVATION OF SMALL-TOWN CHARACTER	Average	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.6	3.0	3.5
	n =	290	2	85	138	39	14	71	203
COOPERATION, COMMUNICATION AND INTERACTION BETWEEN	Average	3.3	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.7	2.7	2.8	3.6
	n =	204	1	54	100	27	12	58	134

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY FUNCTIONS & SERVICES		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
APPEARANCE OF TOWN	1 - Not at all Satisfied	3%		4%	3%		19%	3%	2%
	2	10%	50%	11%	9%	10%	25%	13%	8%
	3	35%		45%	31%	29%	44%	46%	31%
	4	30%		26%	32%	41%	13%	28%	32%
	5 - Very Satisfied	22%	50%	15%	25%	20%		11%	27%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.6	3.5	3.4	3.7	3.7	2.5	3.3	3.8
Median		4.0	3.5	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		315	2	94	145	41	16	76	220
AVAILABILITY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING	1 - Not at all Satisfied	6%		6%	6%	10%	21%	11%	3%
	2	11%	50%	12%	11%	10%	14%	11%	11%
	3	37%		35%	38%	35%	36%	45%	34%
	4	31%		39%	31%	26%	21%	28%	33%
	5 - Very Satisfied	15%	50%	8%	15%	19%	7%	6%	19%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.4	2.8	3.1	3.5
Median		3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		260	2	77	120	31	14	65	180

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY FUNCTIONS & SERVICES		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
COMMUNICATION AND INFORMATION DISSEMINATION	1 - Not at all Satisfied	7%		5%	7%	7%	14%	13%	4%
	2	21%		22%	19%	24%	21%	25%	19%
	3	41%		48%	37%	52%	50%	43%	40%
	4	23%	50%	17%	28%	14%	14%	19%	24%
	5 - Very Satisfied	9%	50%	9%	9%	3%			13%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	4.5	3.0	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.7	3.2
Median		3.0	4.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		261	2	82	121	29	14	63	181
HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROGRAMS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	3%		5%	2%		15%	5%	1%
	2	9%	50%	6%	9%	8%		18%	7%
	3	28%	50%	36%	28%	19%	46%	38%	24%
	4	33%		30%	33%	47%	23%	27%	35%
	5 - Very Satisfied	27%		23%	28%	25%	15%	13%	33%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.7	2.5	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.2	3.2	3.9
Median		4.0	2.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		240	2	64	111	36	13	56	168

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY FUNCTIONS & SERVICES		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
PLANNING AND APPROVAL PROCESS FOR NEW AND EXISTING DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	20%		25%	16%	23%	38%	23%	17%
	2	25%	50%	23%	23%	23%	31%	33%	21%
	3	36%		35%	36%	42%	23%	37%	37%
	4	14%		13%	18%	12%		6%	19%
	5 - Very Satisfied	4%	50%	3%	7%		8%	2%	5%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.6	3.5	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.7
Median		3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0
n =		201	2	60	91	26	13	52	134
PRESERVATION OF SMALL-TOWN CHARACTER	1 - Not at all Satisfied	10%		7%	12%	5%	29%	11%	8%
	2	11%	50%	12%	10%	13%		17%	10%
	3	33%		34%	30%	38%	57%	44%	28%
	4	28%	50%	34%	25%	31%	14%	18%	33%
	5 - Very Satisfied	18%		13%	22%	13%		10%	22%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.3	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.6	3.0	3.5
Median		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		290	2	85	138	39	14	71	203
COOPERATION, COMMUNICATION AND INTERACTION BETWEEN THE TWO COUNTIES, VARIOUS DISTRICTS AND SERVICE PROVIDERS WITHIN STRASBURG	1 - Not at all Satisfied	7%		7%	7%		17%	14%	3%
	2	17%		24%	17%	11%	8%	21%	16%
	3	30%		37%	26%	26%	67%	40%	23%
	4	31%	100%	22%	35%	41%	8%	22%	37%
	5 - Very Satisfied	15%		9%	15%	22%		3%	21%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.3	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.7	2.7	2.8	3.6
Median		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		204	1	54	100	27	12	58	134

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>SATISFACTION WITH ECONOMIC CONDITIONS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS	Average	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.7	1.8	2.4	2.7
	n =	239	2	74	106	30	13	58	166
JOB CREATION	Average	2.2	1.5	2.2	2.2	2.4	1.5	2.1	2.3
	n =	251	2	79	113	30	14	65	170
SHOPPING OPPORTUNITIES	Average	2.5	2.0	2.4	2.5	2.6	1.7	2.2	2.7
	n =	307	2	91	142	40	16	73	216
ENTERTAINMENT OPTIONS	Average	2.1	1.5	2.1	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.9	2.3
	n =	299	2	91	138	36	16	71	209
VIABILITY AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL BUSINESSES	Average	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.7	1.7	2.2	2.6
	n =	273	2	80	126	37	16	67	189

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH ECONOMIC CONDITIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	20%		26%	17%	17%	38%	24%	17%
	2	25%	50%	22%	25%	20%	46%	31%	20%
	3	36%	50%	38%	37%	40%	15%	29%	40%
	4	15%		12%	18%	20%		12%	18%
	5 - Very Satisfied	3%		3%	3%	3%		3%	4%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.6	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.7	1.8	2.4	2.7
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0
n =		239	2	74	106	30	13	58	166
JOB CREATION	1 - Not at all Satisfied	29%	50%	29%	29%	20%	50%	32%	26%
	2	34%	50%	32%	35%	33%	50%	37%	31%
	3	27%		27%	29%	33%		23%	32%
	4	7%		11%	4%	10%		5%	9%
	5 - Very Satisfied	2%		1%	3%	3%		3%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.2	1.5	2.2	2.2	2.4	1.5	2.1	2.3
Median		2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0
n =		251	2	79	113	30	14	65	170

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH ECONOMIC CONDITIONS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SHOPPING OPPORTUNITIES	1 - Not at all Satisfied	21%		26%	22%	18%	50%	27%	18%
	2	29%	100%	29%	28%	35%	31%	41%	24%
	3	30%		24%	30%	30%	19%	18%	35%
	4	15%		19%	15%	10%		10%	19%
	5 - Very Satisfied	5%		2%	6%	8%		4%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.5	2.0	2.4	2.5	2.6	1.7	2.2	2.7
Median		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0
n =		307	2	91	142	40	16	73	216
ENTERTAINMENT OPTIONS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	33%	50%	38%	36%	17%	63%	41%	29%
	2	35%	50%	32%	34%	44%	25%	35%	35%
	3	21%		15%	22%	25%	13%	18%	22%
	4	7%		10%	5%	3%		6%	8%
	5 - Very Satisfied	4%		4%	3%	11%			5%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.1	1.5	2.1	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.9	2.3
Median		2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
n =		299	2	91	138	36	16	71	209
VIABILITY AND EXPANSION OF LOCAL BUSINESSES	1 - Not at all Satisfied	20%		25%	21%	14%	38%	31%	15%
	2	35%	100%	38%	32%	30%	56%	36%	33%
	3	29%		18%	33%	38%	6%	21%	34%
	4	12%		16%	10%	11%		7%	15%
	5 - Very Satisfied	4%		4%	3%	8%		4%	4%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.4	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.7	1.7	2.2	2.6
Median		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0
n =		273	2	80	126	37	16	67	189

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE/TRAILS/PROGRAMS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SERVICES AND PROGRAMS FOR SENIORS	Average	2.9	.	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.0	2.6	3.1
	n =	129	0	23	64	27	6	35	86
SERVICES AND PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND TEENS	Average	2.9	2.0	2.6	2.9	3.1	1.9	2.6	3.1
	n =	230	1	79	96	30	12	58	157
RECREATION PROGRAMS	Average	2.8	2.0	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.1	2.6	3.0
	n =	256	1	84	110	34	15	63	175
OPEN SPACE AND TRAILS	Average	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.5	1.6	2.3	2.3
	n =	228	1	77	103	24	14	57	155
PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES	Average	2.7	2.0	2.4	2.7	3.2	2.0	2.5	2.8
	n =	274	1	90	123	34	15	64	192
PUBLIC LANDSCAPING	Average	2.6	1.0	2.6	2.5	2.6	1.9	2.3	2.7
	n =	275	1	93	126	29	15	68	190

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE/TRAILS/PROGRAMS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SERVICES AND PROGRAMS FOR SENIORS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	13%		22%	13%	7%	50%	11%	10%
	2	23%		13%	20%	37%	17%	34%	20%
	3	34%		35%	34%	33%	17%	40%	33%
	4	23%		26%	27%	22%	17%	14%	28%
	5 - Very Satisfied	6%		4%	6%				9%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.9	.	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.0	2.6	3.1
Median		3.0	.	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.5	3.0	3.0
n =		129	0	23	64	27	6	35	86
SERVICES AND PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND TEENS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	13%		20%	11%	3%	50%	19%	8%
	2	23%	100%	23%	25%	27%	17%	31%	22%
	3	33%		34%	31%	33%	25%	28%	36%
	4	24%		19%	27%	30%	8%	17%	27%
	5 - Very Satisfied	7%		4%	5%	7%		5%	8%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.9	2.0	2.6	2.9	3.1	1.9	2.6	3.1
Median		3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.5	2.5	3.0
n =		230	1	79	96	30	12	58	157

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE/TRAILS/PROGRAMS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
RECREATION PROGRAMS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	11%		15%	10%	9%	33%	14%	7%
	2	25%	100%	27%	24%	24%	27%	35%	21%
	3	38%		35%	41%	35%	40%	35%	39%
	4	23%		20%	23%	26%		11%	29%
	5 - Very Satisfied	4%		2%	3%	6%		5%	4%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.8	2.0	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.1	2.6	3.0
Median		3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
n =		256	1	84	110	34	15	63	175
OPEN SPACE AND TRAILS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	29%		35%	30%	13%	57%	23%	28%
	2	34%	100%	30%	37%	42%	21%	42%	32%
	3	26%		23%	24%	29%	21%	25%	27%
	4	8%		9%	5%	17%		5%	9%
	5 - Very Satisfied	4%		3%	4%			5%	3%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.2	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.5	1.6	2.3	2.3
Median		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
n =		228	1	77	103	24	14	57	155

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE/TRAILS/PROGRAMS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES	1 - Not at all Satisfied	17%		31%	14%		47%	19%	14%
	2	25%	100%	19%	31%	24%	20%	36%	22%
	3	35%		30%	36%	38%	20%	30%	39%
	4	18%		16%	15%	29%	13%	13%	19%
	5 - Very Satisfied	5%		4%	5%	9%		3%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.7	2.0	2.4	2.7	3.2	2.0	2.5	2.8
Median		3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0
n =		274	1	90	123	34	15	64	192
PUBLIC LANDSCAPING	1 - Not at all Satisfied	20%	100%	26%	17%	14%	47%	24%	17%
	2	30%		23%	34%	41%	27%	34%	29%
	3	31%		26%	33%	24%	20%	31%	32%
	4	13%		22%	10%	10%	7%	9%	15%
	5 - Very Satisfied	6%		4%	6%	10%		3%	8%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.6	1.0	2.6	2.5	2.6	1.9	2.3	2.7
Median		3.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0
n =		275	1	93	126	29	15	68	190

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>SATISFACTION WITH INFRASTRUCTURE</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
DRINKING WATER QUALITY	Average	3.8	4.5	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.2	3.5	3.9
	n =	208	2	66	91	30	11	52	142
WATER AVAILABILITY	Average	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.9	4.0	2.9	3.5	4.0
	n =	210	2	66	92	30	12	50	145
WATER PRESSURE	Average	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.4	3.9
	n =	208	2	65	89	32	11	54	140
SEWER AND DRAINAGE	Average	3.8	4.5	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.4	4.0
	n =	191	2	61	80	31	9	50	129
STREET AND SIDEWALK MAINTENANCE	Average	3.2	4.5	3.0	3.2	3.4	2.3	2.8	3.4
	n =	263	2	82	119	34	15	61	184

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH INFRASTRUCTURE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
DRINKING WATER QUALITY	1 - Not at all Satisfied	6%		6%	5%	7%	18%	8%	4%
	2	4%		3%	5%	3%	9%	4%	4%
	3	26%		30%	27%	13%	27%	37%	23%
	4	34%	50%	33%	34%	30%	27%	31%	34%
	5 - Very Satisfied	30%	50%	27%	27%	47%	18%	21%	35%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	4.5	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.2	3.5	3.9
Median		4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
n =		208	2	66	91	30	11	52	142
WATER AVAILABILITY	1 - Not at all Satisfied	5%		5%	4%	10%	25%	8%	3%
	2	5%	50%	8%	2%		17%	6%	3%
	3	24%		24%	29%	7%	17%	34%	22%
	4	33%		36%	29%	43%	25%	30%	35%
	5 - Very Satisfied	32%	50%	27%	35%	40%	17%	22%	37%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	3.5	3.7	3.9	4.0	2.9	3.5	4.0
Median		4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
n =		210	2	66	92	30	12	50	145

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH INFRASTRUCTURE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WATER PRESSURE	1 - Not at all Satisfied	5%		6%	2%	6%	9%	7%	4%
	2	6%		6%	7%	6%		7%	6%
	3	27%	50%	25%	36%	9%	55%	37%	22%
	4	33%		37%	27%	38%	9%	30%	35%
	5 - Very Satisfied	29%	50%	26%	28%	41%	27%	19%	34%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.4	3.9
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		208	2	65	89	32	11	54	140
SEWER AND DRAINAGE	1 - Not at all Satisfied	5%		3%	4%	6%	11%	8%	3%
	2	4%		2%	6%	6%		12%	2%
	3	28%		28%	34%	16%	44%	32%	26%
	4	31%	50%	36%	26%	35%		26%	35%
	5 - Very Satisfied	31%	50%	31%	30%	35%	44%	22%	34%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	4.5	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.4	4.0
Median		4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		191	2	61	80	31	9	50	129
STREET AND SIDEWALK MAINTENANCE	1 - Not at all Satisfied	11%		12%	12%	6%	20%	20%	8%
	2	17%		18%	18%	18%	40%	16%	16%
	3	31%		37%	28%	29%	27%	38%	29%
	4	23%	50%	22%	23%	26%	13%	20%	24%
	5 - Very Satisfied	18%	50%	11%	20%	21%		7%	23%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	4.5	3.0	3.2	3.4	2.3	2.8	3.4
Median		3.0	4.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
n =		263	2	82	119	34	15	61	184

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>SATISFACTION WITH SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, FIRE & RESCUE</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SHERIFF PRESENCE AND VISIBILITY	Average	3.8	3.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.3	3.9
	n =	310	2	93	143	39	16	74	217
SHERIFF RESPONSE TIME	Average	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.1	3.9
	n =	219	2	60	101	32	13	59	145
FIRE SERVICES	Average	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.6	4.2
	n =	254	1	73	110	38	15	61	175
RESCUE SERVICES	Average	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.3	3.8	3.6	4.2
	n =	242	1	67	112	36	13	62	165

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, FIRE & RESCUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SHERIFF PRESENCE AND VISIBILITY	1 - Not at all Satisfied	4%		2%	3%	5%		9%	2%
	2	8%	50%	6%	8%	8%	13%	15%	6%
	3	24%		27%	21%	26%	13%	31%	23%
	4	36%	50%	34%	40%	26%	44%	30%	37%
	5 - Very Satisfied	28%		30%	27%	36%	31%	15%	33%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	3.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.3	3.9
Median		4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
n =		310	2	93	143	39	16	74	217
SHERIFF RESPONSE TIME	1 - Not at all Satisfied	7%		7%	6%	6%	15%	14%	3%
	2	9%		5%	10%	13%	8%	15%	7%
	3	25%		23%	26%	25%	23%	34%	21%
	4	31%	100%	28%	33%	28%	23%	24%	33%
	5 - Very Satisfied	29%		37%	26%	28%	31%	14%	35%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.7	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.1	3.9
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
n =		219	2	60	101	32	13	59	145

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, FIRE & RESCUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
FIRE SERVICES	1 - Not at all Satisfied	2%		3%	1%	3%		5%	1%
	2	5%		4%	5%	5%	7%	8%	3%
	3	21%		25%	23%	11%	40%	30%	17%
	4	34%	100%	33%	35%	26%	20%	38%	34%
	5 - Very Satisfied	38%		36%	36%	55%	33%	20%	45%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.6	4.2
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		254	1	73	110	38	15	61	175
RESCUE SERVICES	1 - Not at all Satisfied	2%		4%				2%	2%
	2	5%		4%	4%	6%	8%	8%	4%
	3	20%		22%	21%	11%	38%	32%	14%
	4	35%	100%	34%	35%	31%	23%	40%	34%
	5 - Very Satisfied	38%		34%	39%	53%	31%	18%	47%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		4.0	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.3	3.8	3.6	4.2
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		242	1	67	112	36	13	62	165

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH COUNTY SERVICES		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
PLANNING	Average	2.8	4.0	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.9
	n =	190	1	55	87	27	11	48	129
ZONING	Average	2.8	4.0	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.4	2.6	3.0
	n =	187	1	56	84	25	12	47	126
ROAD & BRIDGE/ PUBLIC WORKS	Average	3.2	4.5	2.9	3.3	3.3	2.4	2.8	3.4
	n =	222	2	66	98	29	12	56	152
TRI-COUNTY HEALTH AGENCY	Average	3.1	4.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.4	2.7	3.3
	n =	140	1	42	58	19	13	38	87

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH COUNTY SERVICES		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
PLANNING	1 - Not at all Satisfied	15%		9%	14%	22%	27%	21%	12%
	2	23%		31%	23%	19%	27%	25%	22%
	3	34%		36%	37%	22%	36%	42%	32%
	4	24%	100%	18%	23%	37%	9%	13%	29%
	5 - Very Satisfied	3%		5%	3%				5%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.8	4.0	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.9
Median		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
n =		190	1	55	87	27	11	48	129
ZONING	1 - Not at all Satisfied	14%		13%	10%	16%	25%	19%	10%
	2	24%		21%	27%	16%	25%	21%	25%
	3	33%		41%	31%	24%	33%	47%	27%
	4	26%	100%	20%	29%	40%	17%	11%	33%
	5 - Very Satisfied	4%		5%	4%	4%		2%	5%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.8	4.0	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.4	2.6	3.0
Median		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
n =		187	1	56	84	25	12	47	126

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH COUNTY SERVICES		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ROAD & BRIDGE/ PUBLIC WORKS	1 - Not at all Satisfied	8%		9%	7%	7%	17%	16%	5%
	2	16%		21%	17%	7%	42%	16%	14%
	3	37%		42%	34%	38%	25%	46%	35%
	4	27%	50%	23%	27%	41%	17%	16%	32%
	5 - Very Satisfied	12%	50%	5%	15%	7%		5%	15%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	4.5	2.9	3.3	3.3	2.4	2.8	3.4
Median		3.0	4.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
n =		222	2	66	98	29	12	56	152
TRI-COUNTY HEALTH AGENCY	1 - Not at all Satisfied	9%		10%	5%	11%	23%	16%	5%
	2	16%		12%	22%	11%	23%	16%	14%
	3	41%		50%	33%	58%	46%	50%	37%
	4	26%	100%	26%	33%	11%	8%	16%	34%
	5 - Very Satisfied	7%		2%	7%	11%		3%	10%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	4.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.4	2.7	3.3
Median		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		140	1	42	58	19	13	38	87

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

SATISFACTION WITH COUNTY SERVICES		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHICH ISSUES ARE MOST IMPORTANT TO YOU AND YOUR HOUSEHOLD	Sheriff, Fire & Rescue	33%		24%	33%	53%	27%	30%	33%
	Economic	26%	50%	26%	30%	13%	20%	34%	24%
	Parks/Recreation/Open Space/Trails/Programs	20%		31%	16%	7%	33%	13%	22%
	Community Services	10%	50%	9%	10%	13%	7%	8%	11%
	Infrastructure	6%		7%	6%	7%	7%	5%	6%
	County Services	5%		3%	6%	7%	7%	11%	3%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	270	2	89	124	30	15	64	189
WHICH ISSUES ARE SECOND MOST IMPORTANT TO YOU AND YOUR HOUSEHOLD	Community Services	20%		27%	19%	18%	20%	18%	21%
	Parks/Recreation/Open Space/Trails/Programs	20%	50%	26%	19%	11%	13%	16%	22%
	Economic	18%		18%	20%	21%	33%	11%	20%
	Sheriff, Fire & Rescue	17%		13%	17%	18%	7%	18%	17%
	County Services	13%	50%	6%	15%	18%	7%	18%	12%
	Infrastructure	11%		10%	10%	14%	20%	18%	8%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	265	2	88	124	28	15	61	187

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>SATISFACTION WITH COUNTY SERVICES</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
TOP TWO CHOICES	Sheriff, Fire & Rescue	48%		36%	48%	66%	33%	45%	49%
	Economic	43%	50%	44%	48%	31%	53%	44%	43%
	Parks/Recreation/Open Space/Trails/Programs	39%	50%	57%	34%	16%	47%	27%	43%
	Community Services	29%	50%	36%	28%	28%	27%	24%	31%
	County Services	18%	50%	9%	20%	22%	13%	27%	15%
	Infrastructure	17%		17%	15%	19%	27%	21%	14%
TOTAL		194%	200%	199%	194%	181%	200%	189%	195%
	n =	276	2	89	128	32	15	66	193

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ARE YOU AWARE OF THE STRASBURG PLAN	Yes, aware of plan and its contents	8%		4%	11%	5%	6%	9%	7%
	Yes, aware plan was completed, not familiar with contents	19%		17%	22%	32%	19%	16%	21%
	No, I was not aware a plan existed	65%	50%	74%	58%	56%	75%	66%	63%
	Don't know/uncertain	8%	50%	4%	9%	7%		9%	8%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	309	2	94	142	41	16	77	214

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG	OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING			
		Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent	
INCREASE SIGNAGE/LANDMARKS TO PROMOTE STRASBURG ALONG I-70	Average	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.0
	n =	302	2	92	140	40	16	75	210
PROMOTE RURAL CHARACTER/HERITAGE OF AREA	Average	3.2	2.0	2.9	3.4	3.3	3.0	3.2	3.3
	n =	304	2	92	142	41	16	75	212
ENCOURAGE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OF	Average	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.2
	n =	300	2	90	141	38	16	73	210
INCREASE VARIETY/AVAILABILITY OF HOUSING FOR	Average	3.1	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.1	3.1
	n =	284	2	83	134	39	15	71	198
INCREASE VARIETY/AVAILABILITY OF HOUSING FOR	Average	2.9	2.5	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.2	2.9	2.9
	n =	297	2	93	133	41	16	74	205
ADD A PARK-N-RIDE ALONG I-70 AND PROMOTE CARPOOLING	Average	3.3	2.5	3.2	3.4	3.0	3.4	3.1	3.3
	n =	303	2	93	141	39	16	75	212
ADD RECYCLING CENTER IN STRASBURG	Average	3.6	2.5	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.7
	n =	309	2	93	144	41	16	77	215
DEVELOP OPEN SPACE, PARKS, AND TRAILS	Average	3.6	3.0	3.9	3.6	3.1	3.8	3.4	3.7
	n =	309	2	92	145	41	16	76	216
IMPROVE QUALITY OF SCHOOLS	Average	3.9	5.0	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.7	4.0
	n =	287	2	89	132	39	14	72	200
SEEK NEW BUSINESSES/EMPLOYERS TO LOCATE IN	Average	3.8	3.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	4.3	3.7	3.8
	n =	308	2	93	144	41	16	77	214
DEVELOP STRASBURG AS A TOURISM INFORMATION CENTER	Average	2.2	1.5	2.0	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.1
	n =	305	2	92	141	41	16	74	214
DEVELOP AND PROMOTE LOCAL CULTURAL EVENTS	Average	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.9	3.0
	n =	303	2	91	143	38	16	74	213
INCORPORATE THE TOWN	Average	1.9	3.0	2.1	1.8	1.9	2.4	2.1	1.8
	n =	271	2	81	126	40	14	63	193
CREATE A COMMUNITY COUNCIL ORGANIZATION TO COORDINATE	Average	2.7	3.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7
	n =	287	2	85	133	39	16	75	195
DEVELOP A CENTRAL COORDINATED WEBSITE FOR THE COMMUNITY	Average	2.8	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.9
	n =	295	2	91	136	38	15	74	205
FURTHER DEVELOP THE BUSINESS DISTRICT ALONG COLFAX	Average	3.4	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.0	3.8	3.3	3.5
	n =	303	2	92	141	39	16	74	212
IMPROVE COMMUNICATION TO RESIDENTS AND	Average	3.5	2.5	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.5
	n =	301	2	90	141	40	15	74	211
INCREASE NETWORKING AND PARTNERSHIPS AMONG ENTITIES IN	Average	3.2	2.5	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.4	3.1	3.2
	n =	277	2	79	129	41	14	71	191
IMPROVE PHYSICAL UPKEEP OF AREA	Average	3.6	4.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.6	3.6
	n =	305	2	92	142	40	16	76	212
MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE WATER SERVICES	Average	3.4	4.5	3.3	3.4	3.6	2.8	3.4	3.4
	n =	227	2	72	100	33	13	57	156
INCREASE VARIETY OF SHOPPING CHOICES	Average	3.4	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.9	3.5	3.4
	n =	311	2	94	145	41	16	77	216
INCREASE SHERIFF PRESENCE	Average	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.5	3.8	2.9	3.5	3.4
	n =	303	2	93	140	41	16	74	211
IMPROVE LOCAL ROADS	Average	3.6	4.0	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.6
	n =	306	2	94	143	40	16	75	213

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
INCREASE SIGNAGE/LANDMARKS TO PROMOTE STRASBURG ALONG I-70	1 - Not at all Important	40%	50%	53%	35%	28%	38%	33%	43%
	2	25%		22%	29%	25%	13%	25%	27%
	3	22%	50%	17%	21%	33%	38%	28%	19%
	4	6%		3%	6%	15%	6%	5%	7%
	4 - Very Important	6%		4%	8%		6%	8%	5%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.1	2.0	1.8	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.0
Median		2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0
n =		302	2	92	140	40	16	75	210
PROMOTE RURAL CHARACTER/HERITAGE OF AREA	1 - Not at all Important	12%	50%	13%	12%	7%	19%	11%	12%
	2	18%		25%	15%	20%	25%	19%	17%
	3	26%	50%	35%	22%	24%	19%	31%	25%
	4	22%		10%	28%	32%	13%	23%	23%
	4 - Very Important	21%		17%	23%	17%	25%	17%	22%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	2.0	2.9	3.4	3.3	3.0	3.2	3.3
Median		3.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		304	2	92	142	41	16	75	212

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ENCOURAGE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OF VARIED DENSITIES & HOUSING TYPES	1 - Not at all Important	35%	50%	34%	33%	32%	38%	23%	38%
	2	24%		22%	29%	24%	6%	32%	23%
	3	25%		30%	21%	21%	38%	29%	22%
	4	10%	50%	7%	11%	16%	6%	10%	11%
	4 - Very Important	6%		7%	6%	8%	13%	7%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.3	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.2
Median		2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
n =		300	2	90	141	38	16	73	210
INCREASE VARIETY/AVAILABILITY OF HOUSING FOR SENIORS	1 - Not at all Important	10%	50%	12%	9%	8%	13%	11%	10%
	2	21%		24%	17%	26%	20%	17%	22%
	3	35%		43%	33%	21%	40%	37%	34%
	4	21%	50%	14%	25%	21%	7%	25%	20%
	4 - Very Important	13%		6%	16%	26%	20%	10%	14%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.1	3.1
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		284	2	83	134	39	15	71	198

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
INCREASE VARIETY/AVAILABILITY OF HOUSING FOR TEACHERS AND LOCAL EMPLOYEES	1 - Not at all Important	15%	50%	17%	14%	7%	6%	14%	16%
	2	20%		17%	24%	17%	13%	19%	21%
	3	35%		34%	32%	46%	44%	38%	33%
	4	21%	50%	24%	23%	15%	31%	22%	20%
	4 - Very Important	9%		8%	8%	15%	6%	8%	10%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.9	2.5	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.2	2.9	2.9
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		297	2	93	133	41	16	74	205
ADD A PARK-N-RIDE ALONG I-70 AND PROMOTE CARPOOLING	1 - Not at all Important	19%	50%	22%	17%	21%	13%	24%	18%
	2	13%		12%	11%	18%	19%	12%	12%
	3	19%		22%	21%	18%	25%	13%	21%
	4	20%	50%	16%	20%	26%	6%	28%	18%
	4 - Very Important	29%		29%	31%	18%	38%	23%	30%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.3	2.5	3.2	3.4	3.0	3.4	3.1	3.3
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
n =		303	2	93	141	39	16	75	212

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ADD RECYCLING CENTER IN STRASBURG	1 - Not at all Important	11%	50%	10%	12%	10%	6%	16%	9%
	2	10%		11%	8%	12%	6%	9%	10%
	3	22%		22%	27%	12%	31%	21%	22%
	4	22%	50%	15%	24%	24%	38%	22%	21%
	4 - Very Important	35%		43%	29%	41%	19%	32%	38%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.6	2.5	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.7
Median		4.0	2.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		309	2	93	144	41	16	77	215
DEVELOP OPEN SPACE, PARKS, AND TRAILS	1 - Not at all Important	7%		3%	6%	20%	6%	9%	6%
	2	11%		8%	15%	10%	6%	16%	9%
	3	24%	100%	20%	23%	29%	19%	25%	24%
	4	28%		33%	26%	24%	38%	24%	30%
	4 - Very Important	30%		37%	29%	17%	31%	26%	31%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.6	3.0	3.9	3.6	3.1	3.8	3.4	3.7
Median		4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
n =		309	2	92	145	41	16	76	216

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
IMPROVE QUALITY OF SCHOOLS	1 - Not at all Important	5%		3%	5%	5%		6%	5%
	2	6%		4%	6%	10%	14%	10%	4%
	3	21%		17%	24%	21%	7%	25%	21%
	4	29%		25%	33%	21%	36%	28%	29%
	4 - Very Important	40%	100%	51%	32%	44%	43%	32%	43%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.9	5.0	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.7	4.0
Median		4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		287	2	89	132	39	14	72	200
SEEK NEW BUSINESSES/EMPLOYERS TO LOCATE IN STRASBURG TO CREATE LOCAL JOBS	1 - Not at all Important	8%	50%	8%	6%	12%		12%	8%
	2	8%		8%	10%	5%		8%	8%
	3	22%		24%	22%	22%	31%	18%	23%
	4	19%		12%	21%	24%	13%	19%	20%
	4 - Very Important	42%	50%	49%	42%	37%	56%	43%	40%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	3.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	4.3	3.7	3.8
Median		4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
n =		308	2	93	144	41	16	77	214

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
DEVELOP STRASBURG AS A TOURISM INFORMATION CENTER	1 - Not at all Important	39%	50%	45%	38%	29%	44%	32%	42%
	2	24%	50%	25%	23%	27%	13%	31%	22%
	3	25%		21%	26%	20%	31%	30%	22%
	4	7%		4%	8%	15%	13%	1%	9%
	4 - Very Important	5%		5%	5%	10%		5%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.2	1.5	2.0	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.1
Median		2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
n =		305	2	92	141	41	16	74	214
DEVELOP AND PROMOTE LOCAL CULTURAL EVENTS	1 - Not at all Important	13%	50%	14%	11%	13%	19%	12%	13%
	2	18%		19%	18%	18%	19%	22%	16%
	3	38%		38%	36%	37%	44%	39%	37%
	4	21%	50%	14%	26%	24%	13%	16%	24%
	4 - Very Important	10%		14%	9%	8%	6%	11%	10%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.9	3.0
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		303	2	91	143	38	16	74	213

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
INCORPORATE THE TOWN	1 - Not at all Important	61%	50%	52%	69%	58%	50%	54%	64%
	2	13%		17%	9%	18%	7%	17%	12%
	3	8%		10%	6%	8%	21%	6%	7%
	4	8%		9%	7%	10%		11%	7%
	4 - Very Important	10%	50%	12%	10%	8%	21%	11%	9%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		1.9	3.0	2.1	1.8	1.9	2.4	2.1	1.8
Median		1.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0
n =		271	2	81	126	40	14	63	193
CREATE A COMMUNITY COUNCIL ORGANIZATION TO COORDINATE VARIOUS DISTRICTS	1 - Not at all Important	23%		19%	26%	21%	19%	28%	21%
	2	23%	50%	25%	21%	31%	31%	17%	25%
	3	29%		34%	27%	23%	25%	27%	29%
	4	15%		14%	14%	21%	6%	16%	15%
	4 - Very Important	11%	50%	8%	13%	5%	19%	12%	10%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.7	3.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7
Median		3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
n =		287	2	85	133	39	16	75	195

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
DEVELOP A CENTRAL COORDINATED WEBSITE FOR THE COMMUNITY	1 - Not at all Important	21%	50%	22%	19%	21%	13%	24%	20%
	2	20%		19%	20%	24%	13%	22%	20%
	3	29%		34%	27%	34%	47%	27%	29%
	4	18%		14%	20%	16%	27%	19%	17%
	4 - Very Important	12%	50%	11%	14%	5%		8%	15%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.8	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.9
Median		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		295	2	91	136	38	15	74	205
FURTHER DEVELOP THE BUSINESS DISTRICT ALONG COLFAX	1 - Not at all Important	9%		5%	8%	21%	13%	15%	7%
	2	12%		11%	9%	13%		14%	12%
	3	30%	50%	29%	33%	28%	13%	26%	32%
	4	26%		26%	26%	23%	44%	23%	25%
	4 - Very Important	24%	50%	28%	25%	15%	31%	23%	24%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.0	3.8	3.3	3.5
Median		3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
n =		303	2	92	141	39	16	74	212

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
IMPROVE COMMUNICATION TO RESIDENTS AND BUSINESS OWNERS	1 - Not at all Important	6%	50%	4%	4%	13%	13%	7%	5%
	2	10%		17%	7%	8%		12%	10%
	3	35%		32%	40%	23%	40%	34%	35%
	4	30%	50%	27%	30%	28%	27%	22%	33%
	4 - Very Important	20%		20%	19%	30%	20%	26%	18%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.5	2.5	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.5
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
n =		301	2	90	141	40	15	74	211
INCREASE NETWORKING AND PARTNERSHIPS AMONG ENTITIES IN STRASBURG	1 - Not at all Important	10%	50%	5%	9%	17%	14%	13%	8%
	2	14%		20%	15%	12%		17%	15%
	3	37%		41%	40%	27%	43%	35%	37%
	4	22%	50%	15%	21%	27%	21%	17%	24%
	4 - Very Important	17%		19%	16%	17%	21%	18%	16%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	2.5	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.4	3.1	3.2
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		277	2	79	129	41	14	71	191

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
IMPROVE PHYSICAL UPKEEP OF AREA	1 - Not at all Important	5%		1%	6%	8%		5%	5%
	2	9%		11%	8%	13%	6%	12%	8%
	3	34%		36%	35%	30%	31%	30%	36%
	4	28%	50%	22%	33%	23%	25%	28%	28%
	4 - Very Important	24%	50%	30%	18%	28%	38%	25%	23%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.6	4.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.6	3.6
Median		4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		305	2	92	142	40	16	76	212
MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE WATER SERVICES	1 - Not at all Important	10%		7%	11%	15%	8%	12%	8%
	2	11%		17%	8%	6%	31%	12%	8%
	3	34%		33%	38%	21%	46%	25%	37%
	4	23%	50%	24%	21%	18%	8%	25%	24%
	4 - Very Important	23%	50%	19%	22%	39%	8%	26%	23%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	4.5	3.3	3.4	3.6	2.8	3.4	3.4
Median		3.0	4.5	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
n =		227	2	72	100	33	13	57	156

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
INCREASE VARIETY OF SHOPPING CHOICES	1 - Not at all Important	11%	50%	7%	11%	12%	13%	13%	9%
	2	15%		22%	12%	7%	6%	9%	18%
	3	21%		18%	19%	34%	6%	23%	21%
	4	27%		20%	32%	17%	25%	26%	27%
	4 - Very Important	27%	50%	32%	26%	29%	50%	29%	25%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.9	3.5	3.4
Median		4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
n =		311	2	94	145	41	16	77	216
INCREASE SHERIFF PRESENCE	1 - Not at all Important	6%		5%	7%	2%	6%	3%	7%
	2	13%	50%	23%	11%	5%	25%	16%	11%
	3	35%		41%	33%	29%	50%	35%	34%
	4	24%		14%	28%	34%	6%	22%	27%
	4 - Very Important	22%	50%	17%	21%	29%	13%	24%	21%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	3.5	3.2	3.5	3.8	2.9	3.5	3.4
Median		3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		303	2	93	140	41	16	74	211
IMPROVE LOCAL ROADS	1 - Not at all Important	5%		4%	6%	10%	6%	5%	5%
	2	8%		14%	8%		6%	5%	10%
	3	33%	50%	31%	31%	38%	50%	36%	31%
	4	25%		26%	29%	18%	19%	20%	28%
	4 - Very Important	28%	50%	26%	26%	35%	19%	33%	27%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.6	4.0	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.6
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
n =		306	2	94	143	40	16	75	213

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHICH IS YOUR HIGHEST PRIORITY	Seek new businesses/employers to locate in Strasburg	20%	50%	21%	21%	16%	13%	27%	18%
	Improve quality of schools	18%		27%	12%	21%	13%	12%	20%
	Promote rural character/Heritage of area	8%		7%	9%	11%	6%	4%	9%
	Develop open space, parks, and trails	7%		10%	6%	3%	25%	5%	7%
	Add a park-n-ride along I-70 and promote carpooling	7%		10%	6%	3%	6%	11%	6%
	Add recycling center in Strasburg	7%		7%	7%	8%		4%	8%
	Improve local roads	7%		3%	10%	3%	13%	11%	5%
	Increase sheriff presence	6%		3%	8%	5%		7%	6%
	Further develop the business district along colfax	3%		3%	3%	3%	13%	5%	2%
	Increase variety of shopping choices	3%		2%	3%	3%		3%	3%
	Incorporate the town	3%		2%	3%	3%		1%	3%
	Improve communication to residents and business owners	3%		2%	3%	5%	6%	4%	2%
	Maintain and improve water services	2%		1%	2%	5%	6%	1%	2%
	Increase variety/availability housing for seniors	2%			3%	5%		1%	2%
	Improve physical upkeep of area	2%	50%	1%	1%	5%		1%	2%
	Create a community council organization	1%			2%				2%
	Add variety/available housing for teachers/local employees	1%		1%	1%	3%		1%	1%
	Develop Strasburg as a tourism information center	0%			1%				0%
	Develop and promote local cultural events	0%			1%				0%
Increase networking/partnerships among entities in Strasburg	0%			1%				0%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	305	2	92	145	38	16	74	214

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHICH IS YOUR SECOND HIGHEST PRIORITY	Develop open space, parks, and trails	11%		13%	12%	5%	6%	5%	13%
	Seek new businesses/employers to locate in Strasburg	10%		15%	10%		19%	14%	8%
	Add recycling center in Strasburg	10%		11%	8%	16%		9%	11%
	Further develop the business district along colfax	9%	50%	14%	6%	13%	19%	5%	9%
	Improve quality of schools	8%	50%	14%	6%	3%	13%	8%	8%
	Improve physical upkeep of area	6%		5%	6%	5%	13%	7%	5%
	Add a park-n-ride along I-70 and promote carpooling	5%		3%	8%	5%	6%	5%	5%
	No additional option chosen	5%		2%	3%	13%	6%	8%	4%
	Increase sheriff presence	5%		1%	8%	3%		5%	5%
	Improve local roads	4%		3%	3%	5%	6%	4%	4%
	Increase variety of shopping choices	4%		2%	6%	3%	6%	9%	2%
	Improve communication to residents and business owners	3%		1%	4%	8%		3%	4%
	Promote rural character/Heritage of area	3%		5%	3%			3%	3%
	Add variety/available housing for teachers/local employees	3%		2%	3%	3%		1%	4%
	Create a community council organization	3%		1%	3%	3%		4%	2%
	Increase variety/availability housing for seniors	2%		1%	1%	5%		3%	2%
	Encourage residential dev. of varied density/housing types	2%		1%	1%	5%	6%		2%
	Maintain and improve water services	2%			2%	5%		1%	2%
	Develop a central coordinated website for the community	2%			3%				2%
	Increase signage/Landmarks to promote Strasburg along I-70	1%			2%				1%
Develop and promote local cultural events	1%		2%	1%			3%	0%	
Increase networking/partnerships among entities in Strasburg	1%			2%			1%	1%	
Incorporate the town	0%		1%					0%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	n =	305	2	92	145	38	16	74	214

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHICH IS YOUR THIRD HIGHEST PRIORITY	Seek new businesses/employers to locate in Strasburg	10%		7%	13%	8%	13%	13%	9%
	Improve local roads	10%	50%	14%	8%	8%	6%	14%	8%
	Increase variety of shopping choices	9%		8%	10%	14%	13%	6%	10%
	Improve quality of schools	8%		10%	6%	8%	6%	9%	8%
	Add a park-n-ride along I-70 and promote carpooling	8%		9%	8%	3%	19%	4%	8%
	Add recycling center in Strasburg	7%		9%	6%	8%	19%	4%	8%
	Develop open space, parks, and trails	6%	50%	8%	6%	3%		10%	6%
	Increase sheriff presence	5%		4%	6%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	Promote rural character/Heritage of area	5%		2%	6%	8%	6%	6%	5%
	Further develop the business district along colfax	4%		8%	3%	3%	6%	1%	5%
	Maintain and improve water services	4%		7%	3%	6%		6%	4%
	Develop and promote local cultural events	4%		3%	4%	6%		3%	5%
	Increase variety/availability housing for seniors	3%			5%	3%		4%	3%
	Improve physical upkeep of area	3%		3%	2%	6%		4%	2%
	No additional option chosen	2%		1%	4%			1%	3%
	Develop a central coordinated website for the community	2%		3%	1%	3%			3%
	Add variety/available housing for teachers/local employees	2%		2%	2%		6%	1%	2%
	Improve communication to residents and business owners	2%		1%	1%	3%		3%	1%
	Incorporate the town	1%		1%	1%			4%	0%
	Encourage residential dev. of varied density/housing types	1%		1%	1%	3%			1%
Create a community council organization	1%			1%			1%	1%	
Develop Strasburg as a tourism information center	1%			1%	3%			1%	
Increase signage/Landmarks to promote Strasburg along I-70	0%			1%				0%	
Increase networking/partnerships among entities in Strasburg	0%			1%				0%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	300	2	92	143	36	16	70	213

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
TOP THREE PRIORITIES	Seek new businesses/employers to locate in Strasburg	40%	50%	42%	43%	24%	44%	53%	36%
	Improve quality of schools	34%	50%	51%	23%	32%	31%	28%	36%
	Develop open space, parks, and trails	24%	50%	30%	23%	11%	31%	20%	25%
	Add recycling center in Strasburg	24%		26%	20%	32%	19%	18%	27%
	Improve local roads	20%	50%	21%	21%	16%	25%	28%	17%
	Add a park-n-ride along I-70 and promote carpooling	20%		22%	22%	11%	31%	20%	19%
	Further develop the business district along colfax	16%	50%	25%	12%	18%	38%	12%	16%
	Promote rural character/Heritage of area	16%		14%	18%	18%	13%	12%	17%
	Increase sheriff presence	16%		9%	21%	13%	6%	16%	16%
	Increase variety of shopping choices	16%		12%	18%	18%	19%	18%	15%
	Improve physical upkeep of area	11%	50%	10%	9%	16%	13%	12%	10%
	Maintain and improve water services	8%		8%	7%	16%	6%	8%	8%
	Improve communication to residents and business owners	8%		4%	8%	16%	6%	9%	7%
	Increase variety/availability housing for seniors	7%		1%	9%	13%		8%	7%
	Add variety/available housing for teachers/local employees	6%		5%	6%	5%	6%	4%	7%
	Develop and promote local cultural events	6%		5%	6%	5%		5%	6%
	Create a community council organization	5%		1%	6%	3%		5%	5%
	Incorporate the town	4%		4%	4%	3%		5%	4%
	Develop a central coordinated website for the community	4%		3%	4%	3%			5%
	Encourage residential dev. of varied density/housing types	3%		2%	2%	8%	6%		3%
Increase networking/partnerships among entities in Strasburg	2%			3%			1%	2%	
Increase signage/Landmarks to promote Strasburg along I-70	1%			3%				2%	
Develop Strasburg as a tourism information center	1%			1%	3%			1%	
TOTAL		291%	300%	297%	291%	282%	294%	285%	293%
	n =	305	2	92	145	38	16	74	214

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHICH IS YOUR LOWEST PRIORITY	Increase signage/Landmarks to promote Strasburg along I-70	15%		20%	12%	12%	7%	16%	15%
	Promote rural character/Heritage of area	2%		1%	2%	4%			2%
	Encourage residential dev. of varied density/housing types	11%		11%	12%	8%	14%	10%	12%
	Increase variety/availability housing for seniors	0%		1%					1%
	Add variety/available housing for teachers/local employees	2%		3%	2%			3%	2%
	Add a park-n-ride along I-70 and promote carpooling	6%		6%	4%	8%	7%	8%	4%
	Add recycling center in Strasburg	3%		6%	2%		7%		4%
	Develop open space, parks, and trails	1%		1%	1%				1%
	Improve quality of schools	0%		1%				2%	
	Seek new businesses/employers to locate in Strasburg	2%			3%	4%		2%	2%
	Develop Strasburg as a tourism information center	13%		15%	10%	19%	7%	23%	11%
	Develop and promote local cultural events	2%		5%		4%			3%
	Incorporate the town	29%	100%	18%	37%	15%	36%	18%	31%
	Create a community council organization	2%		1%	1%	12%		5%	2%
	Develop a central coordinated website for the community	5%		6%	6%	4%		10%	4%
	Further develop the business district along colfax	0%			1%				1%
	Improve communication to residents and business owners	1%		1%	1%				1%
	Increase networking/partnerships among entities in Strasburg	0%			1%				1%
	Maintain and improve water services	0%				4%			1%
	Increase variety of shopping choices	2%		3%	2%	4%	7%	2%	2%
Increase sheriff presence	2%			3%		14%		1%	
Improve local roads	1%			1%	4%		2%	1%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	n =	254	1	80	124	26	14	61	178

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHICH IS YOUR SECOND LOWEST PRIORITY	Increase signage/Landmarks to promote Strasburg along I-70	10%	100%	10%	10%	5%		14%	10%
	Promote rural character/Heritage of area	5%		5%	6%		15%	5%	5%
	Encourage residential dev. of varied density/housing types	10%		12%	8%	14%	15%	7%	10%
	Increase variety/availability housing for seniors	1%		1%	2%			2%	1%
	Add variety/available housing for teachers/local employees	6%		5%	6%	9%	8%	5%	6%
	Add a park-n-ride along I-70 and promote carpooling	2%		1%	2%		8%	3%	2%
	Add recycling center in Strasburg	5%		5%	5%			14%	2%
	Develop open space, parks, and trails	2%			2%	5%	8%		1%
	Improve quality of schools	0%			1%				1%
	Seek new businesses/employers to locate in Strasburg	2%		3%	1%	9%	8%		3%
	Develop Strasburg as a tourism information center	21%		21%	21%	18%	23%	14%	23%
	Develop and promote local cultural events	2%		1%	2%	5%		2%	2%
	Incorporate the town	12%		15%	9%	27%		14%	13%
	Create a community council organization	7%		6%	8%		15%	5%	6%
	Develop a central coordinated website for the community	5%		5%	5%	9%		3%	6%
	Further develop the business district along colfax	2%		3%	2%			3%	1%
	Increase networking/partnerships among entities in Strasburg	2%		3%	2%			3%	2%
	Improve physical upkeep of area	1%			2%			2%	1%
	Maintain and improve water services	1%			2%			2%	1%
	Increase variety of shopping choices	1%		1%	2%				2%
Increase sheriff presence	1%		1%	2%			2%	1%	
Improve local roads	0%		1%					1%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	n =	242	1	78	121	22	13	58	170

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO THE FUTURE OF STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
BOTTOM TWO PRIORITIES	Incorporate the town	41%	100%	33%	46%	38%	36%	31%	44%
	Develop Strasburg as a tourism information center	33%		35%	31%	35%	29%	36%	33%
	Increase signage/Landmarks to promote Strasburg along I-70	25%	100%	30%	22%	15%	7%	30%	25%
	Encourage residential dev. of varied density/housing types	20%		23%	20%	19%	29%	16%	21%
	Develop a central coordinated website for the community	10%		11%	10%	12%		13%	10%
	Create a community council organization	9%		8%	9%	12%	14%	10%	8%
	Add recycling center in Strasburg	8%		11%	7%		7%	13%	6%
	Add variety/available housing for teachers/local employees	7%		8%	8%	8%	7%	8%	7%
	Add a park-n-ride along I-70 and promote carpooling	8%		8%	6%	8%	14%	11%	6%
	Promote rural character/Heritage of area	7%		6%	7%	4%	14%	5%	7%
	Seek new businesses/employers to locate in Strasburg	4%		3%	4%	12%	7%	2%	5%
	Develop and promote local cultural events	4%		6%	2%	8%		2%	5%
	Increase variety of shopping choices	3%		4%	3%	4%	7%	2%	3%
	Increase sheriff presence	3%		1%	5%		14%	2%	2%
	Develop open space, parks, and trails	2%		1%	3%	4%	7%		2%
	Increase networking/partnerships among entities in Strasburg	2%		3%	2%			3%	2%
	Further develop the business district along colfax	2%		3%	2%			3%	2%
	Increase variety/availability housing for seniors	2%		3%	2%			2%	2%
	Maintain and improve water services	2%			2%	4%		2%	2%
	Improve local roads	1%		1%	1%	4%		2%	1%
Improve quality of schools	1%		1%	1%			2%	1%	
Improve communication to residents and business owners	1%		1%	1%				1%	
Improve physical upkeep of area	1%			2%			2%	1%	
TOTAL		195%	200%	198%	198%	185%	193%	195%	196%
	n =	254	1	80	124	26	14	61	178

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS, DO YOU FEEL THE RATE OF GROWTH IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS IN STRASBURG HAVE BEEN...		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
RESIDENTIAL	Too little	5%	50%	6%	5%		19%	5%	3%
	Just about right	40%	50%	44%	36%	43%	38%	40%	39%
	Too much	56%		50%	59%	57%	44%	55%	57%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	304	2	90	143	37	16	75	211
COMMERCIAL/RETAIL	Too little	57%	100%	60%	57%	57%	75%	59%	55%
	Just about right	40%		37%	42%	41%	13%	38%	43%
	Too much	3%		3%	1%	3%	13%	3%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	302	2	89	142	37	16	74	210
INDUSTRIAL/EMPLOYMENT CENTERS	Too little	64%	100%	68%	65%	66%	75%	74%	59%
	Just about right	29%		28%	32%	20%	13%	15%	35%
	Too much	7%		5%	4%	14%	13%	11%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	297	1	87	142	35	16	72	207

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>MANAGEMENT OF GROWTH</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
HOW DO YOU FEEL GROWTH IN STRASBURG HAS BEEN MANAGED	Poorly managed, not well-controlled	32%		35%	29%	28%	38%	39%	28%
	Varies by development	31%	50%	29%	32%	30%	38%	26%	31%
	Don't know/uncertain	26%	50%	25%	25%	38%	13%	23%	29%
	Well-managed, orderly	14%		16%	14%	5%	19%	12%	14%
	Too strictly controlled, even desired growth is not allowed	5%		2%	5%	8%		10%	3%
	Other	4%		1%	5%	3%	13%	3%	4%
TOTAL		111%	100%	109%	110%	110%	119%	113%	109%
	n =	310	2	91	146	40	16	77	214

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>MANAGEMENT OF GROWTH</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHICH ALTERNATIVE FOR THE NEXT 20 YEARS IN STRASBURG DO YOU AGREE WITH MOST	Maintain its status as a 'bedroom community'	11%	50%	15%	6%	13%		13%	11%
	Strive to achieve a goal of employing more of the workforce	23%		18%	24%	31%	20%	41%	17%
	Maintain an equal balance of both	65%	50%	66%	70%	56%	80%	45%	72%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	307	2	92	142	39	15	75	214

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SUPPORT DESIGN STANDARDS FOR COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT	Average	3.1	3.5	3.1	3.2	2.9	3.4	3.0	3.1
	n =	275	2	85	129	36	16	66	191
SOLICIT MAJOR RETAILERS TO LOCATE IN THE COMMUNITY	Average	2.8	3.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.7
	n =	300	2	92	143	37	16	71	211
WORK TO RETAIN AND EXPAND EXISTING SMALLER LOCAL	Average	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.2	3.6	4.0
	n =	300	2	90	142	38	15	74	209
PROMOTE TOURISM AS A WAY TO DRAW VISITORS AND INCREASE	Average	2.3	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.3
	n =	292	2	90	138	35	15	74	202
MAKE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS TO THE DOWNTOWN AREA	Average	3.4	4.0	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.9	3.2	3.4
	n =	294	2	92	138	35	15	74	204
EXPAND THE COMMERCIAL AREA AROUND THE I-70	Average	3.1	4.0	3.3	3.1	3.0	2.9	3.2	3.1
	n =	302	2	93	141	38	15	74	210
ESTABLISH INCENTIVES TO ATTRACT DESIRED BUSINESSES	Average	3.1	4.0	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.1
	n =	299	2	91	141	38	15	74	208
WORK TO INCREASE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN THE	Average	3.4	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.6	3.4
	n =	302	2	91	143	38	15	75	210
IMPROVE APPEARANCE OF STRASBURG FROM I-70 CORRIDOR	Average	3.2	4.5	3.2	3.2	3.2	4.0	3.4	3.1
	n =	298	2	92	138	38	14	75	207
PROMOTE AND DEVELOP NEW RESIDENTIAL AREAS FIRST	Average	2.0	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0
	n =	295	2	93	137	36	15	74	204
MAKE INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS THAT WILL BETTER SUPPORT	Average	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.3
	n =	296	2	92	138	37	15	74	205
CREATE A STRASBURG BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	Average	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.9
	n =	279	2	85	130	37	15	68	194
OTHER	Average	3.8	.	4.4	3.6	3.5	4.3	2.3	4.0
	n =	19	0	5	9	2	4	3	12

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SUPPORT DESIGN STANDARDS FOR COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT	1 - Not a Priority	14%		11%	14%	17%	6%	17%	14%
	2 - Low Priority	14%		14%	12%	11%	13%	11%	15%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	29%	50%	39%	22%	39%	38%	32%	27%
	4 - Important Priority	37%	50%	32%	43%	33%	25%	36%	38%
	5 - Highest Priority	6%		5%	9%		19%	5%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	3.5	3.1	3.2	2.9	3.4	3.0	3.1
Median		3.0	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		275	2	85	129	36	16	66	191
SOLICIT MAJOR RETAILERS TO LOCATE IN THE COMMUNITY	1 - Not a Priority	26%		30%	21%	24%	31%	25%	26%
	2 - Low Priority	20%		17%	25%	11%		15%	23%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	14%	50%	11%	14%	24%	13%	15%	14%
	4 - Important Priority	29%	50%	29%	29%	35%	31%	31%	28%
	5 - Highest Priority	10%		12%	11%	5%	25%	13%	8%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.8	3.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.7
Median		3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
n =		300	2	92	143	37	16	71	211

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WORK TO RETAIN AND EXPAND EXISTING SMALLER LOCAL BUSINESSES	1 - Not a Priority	6%		2%	5%	11%		11%	4%
	2 - Low Priority	4%		7%	2%	3%		7%	2%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	12%	50%	8%	13%	16%	13%	14%	11%
	4 - Important Priority	50%		58%	48%	50%	53%	47%	51%
	5 - Highest Priority	29%	50%	26%	32%	21%	33%	22%	31%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.2	3.6	4.0
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		300	2	90	142	38	15	74	209
PROMOTE TOURISM AS A WAY TO DRAW VISITORS AND INCREASE REVENUES	1 - Not a Priority	30%	50%	21%	33%	31%	33%	34%	28%
	2 - Low Priority	30%		42%	28%	17%	33%	28%	31%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	25%		28%	21%	37%	20%	23%	26%
	4 - Important Priority	13%		6%	16%	14%	13%	11%	13%
	5 - Highest Priority	2%	50%	3%	2%			4%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.3	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.3
Median		2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
n =		292	2	90	138	35	15	74	202

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
MAKE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS TO THE DOWNTOWN AREA	1 - Not a Priority	7%		3%	7%	14%		11%	7%
	2 - Low Priority	14%		14%	17%	6%	7%	14%	14%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	25%	50%	26%	24%	26%	27%	28%	24%
	4 - Important Priority	40%		37%	41%	51%	40%	36%	42%
	5 - Highest Priority	13%	50%	20%	12%	3%	27%	11%	13%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	4.0	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.9	3.2	3.4
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
n =		294	2	92	138	35	15	74	204
EXPAND THE COMMERCIAL AREA AROUND THE I-70 INTERCHANGE	1 - Not a Priority	19%		16%	16%	21%	20%	20%	18%
	2 - Low Priority	17%		13%	21%	13%	27%	12%	18%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	15%	50%	15%	13%	21%		15%	15%
	4 - Important Priority	36%		38%	40%	32%	47%	35%	36%
	5 - Highest Priority	15%	50%	18%	11%	13%	7%	18%	13%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	4.0	3.3	3.1	3.0	2.9	3.2	3.1
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
n =		302	2	93	141	38	15	74	210

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ESTABLISH INCENTIVES TO ATTRACT DESIRED BUSINESSES	1 - Not a Priority	15%		19%	10%	18%	20%	22%	13%
	2 - Low Priority	19%		16%	24%	13%	13%	15%	21%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	20%	50%	21%	22%	13%	27%	14%	23%
	4 - Important Priority	29%		26%	27%	45%	13%	27%	31%
	5 - Highest Priority	16%	50%	18%	17%	11%	27%	23%	13%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	4.0	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.1
Median		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.0
n =		299	2	91	141	38	15	74	208
WORK TO INCREASE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN THE COMMUNITY	1 - Not a Priority	12%		12%	10%	16%	13%	12%	11%
	2 - Low Priority	14%		11%	14%	13%		8%	18%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	18%	50%	21%	17%	16%	27%	17%	17%
	4 - Important Priority	32%		27%	36%	39%	27%	35%	32%
	5 - Highest Priority	24%	50%	29%	24%	16%	33%	28%	22%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.6	3.4
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		302	2	91	143	38	15	75	210

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
IMPROVE APPEARANCE OF STRASBURG FROM I-70 CORRIDOR	1 - Not a Priority	10%		8%	9%	13%		11%	11%
	2 - Low Priority	17%		18%	18%	16%	7%	9%	20%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	28%		35%	29%	16%	29%	29%	27%
	4 - Important Priority	30%	50%	22%	30%	45%	21%	32%	29%
	5 - Highest Priority	16%	50%	17%	14%	11%	43%	19%	13%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	4.5	3.2	3.2	3.2	4.0	3.4	3.1
Median		3.0	4.5	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
n =		298	2	92	138	38	14	75	207
PROMOTE AND DEVELOP NEW RESIDENTIAL AREAS FIRST	1 - Not a Priority	40%	50%	40%	40%	33%	40%	34%	42%
	2 - Low Priority	32%	50%	34%	28%	42%	20%	43%	29%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	21%		20%	25%	17%	33%	16%	23%
	4 - Important Priority	5%		4%	5%	8%		5%	5%
	5 - Highest Priority	2%		1%	2%		7%	1%	1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.0	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0
Median		2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
n =		295	2	93	137	36	15	74	204

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
MAKE INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS THAT WILL BETTER SUPPORT RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT	1 - Not a Priority	9%		4%	9%	14%		8%	10%
	2 - Low Priority	15%		18%	14%	8%	13%	11%	17%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	25%	50%	22%	30%	16%	40%	27%	24%
	4 - Important Priority	36%	50%	39%	32%	54%	27%	36%	36%
	5 - Highest Priority	15%		16%	16%	8%	20%	18%	14%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.3	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.3
Median		4.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
n =		296	2	92	138	37	15	74	205
CREATE A STRASBURG BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL	1 - Not a Priority	23%		21%	22%	22%	13%	25%	23%
	2 - Low Priority	14%	50%	12%	14%	11%	27%	16%	12%
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	29%	50%	35%	27%	27%	20%	26%	30%
	4 - Important Priority	27%		25%	29%	35%	27%	26%	27%
	5 - Highest Priority	8%		7%	8%	5%	13%	6%	8%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.8	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.9
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		279	2	85	130	37	15	68	194
OTHER	1 - Not a Priority	11%			11%			33%	8%
	2 - Low Priority	11%			22%		25%	33%	
	3 - Neutral/No Opinion	11%		20%		50%			17%
	4 - Important Priority	26%		20%	33%	50%		33%	33%
	5 - Highest Priority	42%		60%	33%		75%		42%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	.	4.4	3.6	3.5	4.3	2.3	4.0
Median		4.0	.	5.0	4.0	3.5	5.0	2.0	4.0
n =		19	0	5	9	2	4	3	12

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
HIGHEST PRIORITY	Work to retain and expand existing smaller local businesses	31%		37%	29%	25%	19%	26%	34%
	Work to increase employment opportunities in the community	16%	50%	13%	20%	16%	6%	22%	14%
	Solicit major retailers to locate in the community	11%		14%	10%	13%	6%	9%	12%
	Make infrastructure improvements to support development	11%		11%	11%	6%	6%	9%	12%
	Make public improvements to the downtown area	6%	50%	5%	7%	6%	19%	4%	6%
	Expand the commercial area around the I-70 interchange	5%		8%	3%	9%	6%	4%	5%
	Support design standards for commercial development	4%		1%	7%		13%	6%	3%
	Improve appearance of Town from I-70 corridor	4%		3%	4%	6%	13%	3%	4%
	Create a Strasburg Business Development Council	3%		2%	3%	6%		1%	4%
	Establish incentives to attract desired businesses	3%		3%	2%	6%		7%	2%
	Promote tourism to draw visitors and increase revenues	3%		1%	2%	6%		4%	3%
	Other	2%		1%	2%		6%	1%	2%
	Promote and develop new residential areas first	1%			2%		6%	1%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	280	2	87	133	32	16	68	194

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SECOND MOST IMPORTANT	Work to retain and expand existing smaller local businesses	19%		14%	23%	16%	13%	13%	22%
	Work to increase employment opportunities in the community	14%		15%	11%	13%	19%	19%	11%
	Make public improvements to the downtown area	13%		18%	10%	16%	13%	7%	15%
	Establish incentives to attract desired businesses	9%	50%	6%	11%	6%	6%	16%	6%
	Expand the commercial area around the I-70 interchange	8%		6%	10%	9%	6%	9%	8%
	Make infrastructure improvements to support development	8%		8%	7%	13%		6%	9%
	Improve appearance of Town from I-70 corridor	8%	50%	13%	5%	6%	13%	13%	5%
	Solicit major retailers to locate in the community	7%		8%	8%	3%	13%	6%	7%
	Support design standards for commercial development	5%		5%	6%		13%	3%	5%
	No additional option chosen	4%		5%	3%	6%		3%	4%
	Create a Strasburg Business Development Council	3%		1%	3%	3%	6%	1%	4%
	Promote tourism to draw visitors and increase revenues	3%			3%	9%		3%	3%
	Promote and develop new residential areas first	1%		1%	1%				1%
	Other	1%		1%					1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	n =	280	2	87	133	32	16	68	194

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
THIRD MOST IMPORTANT	Make infrastructure improvements to support development	12%		14%	13%	3%	19%	14%	11%
	Expand the commercial area around the I-70 interchange	11%	50%	17%	9%	7%	13%	15%	10%
	Work to increase employment opportunities in the community	11%		12%	13%	7%	6%	9%	12%
	Make public improvements to the downtown area	10%		7%	10%	17%	6%	9%	11%
	Solicit major retailers to locate in the community	9%		10%	9%	3%	6%	9%	9%
	Work to retain and expand existing smaller local businesses	8%		5%	9%	20%	6%	8%	8%
	Establish incentives to attract desired businesses	8%		7%	10%	3%	19%	6%	8%
	Improve appearance of Town from I-70 corridor	7%		5%	9%	7%	19%	6%	6%
	Create a Strasburg Business Development Council	6%		4%	5%	13%		6%	6%
	Support design standards for commercial development	5%		5%	3%	7%		5%	5%
	Promote tourism to draw visitors and increase revenues	4%		5%	5%		6%	3%	5%
	No additional option chosen	5%	50%	4%	3%	7%		5%	5%
	Promote and develop new residential areas first	3%		5%	2%	7%		6%	2%
Other	1%		1%	2%				2%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	270	2	83	129	30	16	66	186

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

WHERE SHOULD THE TOWN FOCUS RESOURCES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
TOP THREE PRIORITIES	Work to retain and expand existing smaller local businesses	58%		55%	61%	59%	38%	47%	64%
	Work to increase employment opportunities in the community	40%	50%	39%	44%	34%	31%	50%	38%
	Make infrastructure improvements to support development	31%		33%	30%	22%	25%	28%	32%
	Make public improvements to the downtown area	29%	50%	30%	26%	38%	38%	21%	31%
	Solicit major retailers to locate in the community	26%		31%	26%	19%	25%	24%	27%
	Expand the commercial area around the I-70 interchange	24%	50%	30%	21%	25%	25%	28%	22%
	Establish incentives to attract desired businesses	19%	50%	16%	22%	16%	25%	29%	15%
	Improve appearance of Town from I-70 corridor	18%	50%	21%	17%	19%	44%	22%	15%
	Support design standards for commercial development	13%		10%	16%	6%	25%	13%	12%
	Create a Strasburg Business Development Council	12%		7%	11%	22%	6%	9%	13%
	Promote tourism to draw visitors and increase revenues	10%		6%	11%	16%	6%	10%	10%
	Promote and develop new residential areas first	4%		6%	4%	6%	6%	7%	3%
	Other	4%		3%	4%		6%	1%	5%
TOTAL		288%	250%	287%	291%	281%	300%	290%	287%
	n =	280	2	87	133	32	16	68	194

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY	OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING			
		Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent	
'BIG BOX' COMMERCIAL RETAIL DEVELOPMENT	Average	2.2	4.0	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.2
	n =	298	2	94	138	34	16	72	208
'MID BOX' COMMERCIAL RETAIL DEVELOPMENT	Average	2.6	3.0	2.8	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.6
	n =	295	2	94	136	35	16	72	204
SMALL, INDEPENDENTLY OWNED RETAIL STORES	Average	3.9	3.5	4.0	4.1	3.6	4.1	3.7	4.0
	n =	300	2	93	137	38	16	73	208
HOTEL/MOTEL	Average	3.1	1.5	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.5	2.9	3.1
	n =	301	2	92	140	38	16	73	209
VEHICLE/EQUIPMENT SALES	Average	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.1	2.5	2.2
	n =	292	2	92	136	34	16	71	203
VEHICLE/EQUIPMENT REPAIR	Average	2.9	2.0	2.8	2.8	3.3	2.6	3.1	2.8
	n =	291	2	92	134	35	16	71	202
INDUSTRIAL STORAGE	Average	1.8	1.5	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.6	2.0	1.7
	n =	280	2	86	131	33	14	67	197
TRUCK STOP/DEPOT	Average	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8
	n =	295	2	92	137	35	16	70	206
PROFESSIONAL OFFICES	Average	3.1	2.5	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.5	3.3	3.1
	n =	294	2	91	136	36	16	70	206
AGRICULTURAL OPERATIONS	Average	3.7	2.5	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.6
	n =	288	2	91	132	36	15	70	201
MINI-STORAGE	Average	1.9	1.5	2.0	1.8	2.2	1.5	2.1	1.9
	n =	288	2	92	132	36	15	69	202
RESTAURANTS/BARS	Average	3.4	3.0	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.3	3.4
	n =	301	2	93	139	37	16	71	211
CONTRACTOR YARDS	Average	2.2	3.0	2.3	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.3	2.2
	n =	282	2	88	131	33	16	66	198
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS & OFFICES	Average	2.9	2.5	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	2.9
	n =	296	2	91	137	36	16	71	207
OUTDOOR RECREATION	Average	3.1	4.0	3.6	2.9	2.7	3.4	2.9	3.2
	n =	295	2	91	135	35	15	71	206
MANUFACTURING/FABRI CATION	Average	2.7	1.5	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.6
	n =	295	2	92	135	36	16	71	206
WAREHOUSES & WHOLESALE DISTRIBUTORSHIPS	Average	2.5	3.0	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.4
	n =	292	2	92	133	37	16	71	203
HEALTH CARE & PUBLIC FACILITIES	Average	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.9
	n =	302	2	93	140	37	16	70	213
SMALLER FRANCHISE/CHAIN STORES	Average	3.4	5.0	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4
	n =	302	2	93	139	38	16	73	210
OTHER	Average	4.1	.	3.7	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.2
	n =	17	0	6	7	2	2	3	11

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
'BIG BOX' COMMERCIAL RETAIL DEVELOPMENT	1 - Not at all Important	50%		49%	52%	32%	44%	43%	52%
	2	13%		14%	13%	12%	6%	19%	11%
	3	14%	50%	12%	13%	26%	6%	15%	14%
	4	12%		14%	9%	18%	38%	10%	11%
	5 - Very Important	11%	50%	12%	12%	12%	6%	13%	12%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.2	4.0	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.2
Median		1.5	4.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	1.0
n =		298	2	94	138	34	16	72	208
'MID BOX' COMMERCIAL RETAIL DEVELOPMENT	1 - Not at all Important	38%		34%	40%	31%	31%	33%	40%
	2	11%		14%	9%	9%	19%	15%	9%
	3	18%	100%	14%	21%	17%	6%	19%	19%
	4	21%		19%	22%	29%	25%	22%	21%
	5 - Very Important	12%		19%	7%	14%	19%	10%	12%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.6	3.0	2.8	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.6
Median		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
n =		295	2	94	136	35	16	72	204

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SMALL, INDEPENDENTLY OWNED RETAIL STORES	1 - Not at all Important	4%		2%	3%	11%		8%	3%
	2	6%		9%	4%	8%	6%	8%	5%
	3	20%	50%	20%	18%	16%	19%	21%	20%
	4	30%	50%	28%	32%	39%	38%	29%	30%
	5 - Very Important	39%		41%	42%	26%	38%	34%	41%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.9	3.5	4.0	4.1	3.6	4.1	3.7	4.0
Median		4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		300	2	93	137	38	16	73	208
HOTEL/MOTEL	1 - Not at all Important	19%	50%	14%	17%	24%	6%	21%	20%
	2	15%	50%	17%	14%	11%	13%	25%	12%
	3	20%		21%	21%	24%	25%	15%	22%
	4	27%		30%	26%	29%	38%	21%	28%
	5 - Very Important	19%		17%	21%	13%	19%	19%	19%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	1.5	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.5	2.9	3.1
Median		3.0	1.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
n =		301	2	92	140	38	16	73	209

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
VEHICLE/EQUIPMENT SALES	1 - Not at all Important	32%	50%	32%	32%	24%	38%	28%	33%
	2	24%		26%	24%	24%	19%	21%	26%
	3	30%		30%	32%	29%	38%	28%	30%
	4	11%	50%	9%	10%	21%	6%	15%	9%
	5 - Very Important	3%		3%	3%	3%		7%	1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.3	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.1	2.5	2.2
Median		2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
n =		292	2	92	136	34	16	71	203
VEHICLE/EQUIPMENT REPAIR	1 - Not at all Important	20%	50%	23%	19%	11%	13%	14%	23%
	2	14%		11%	17%	11%	38%	15%	12%
	3	36%	50%	36%	37%	31%	31%	37%	36%
	4	20%		22%	19%	26%	13%	18%	21%
	5 - Very Important	10%		9%	8%	20%	6%	15%	8%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.9	2.0	2.8	2.8	3.3	2.6	3.1	2.8
Median		3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
n =		291	2	92	134	35	16	71	202

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
INDUSTRIAL STORAGE	1 - Not at all Important	48%	50%	45%	48%	42%	43%	37%	53%
	2	30%	50%	30%	31%	27%	50%	37%	26%
	3	17%		17%	17%	27%	7%	21%	16%
	4	3%		5%	3%	3%		1%	4%
	5 - Very Important	1%		2%	1%			3%	1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		1.8	1.5	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.6	2.0	1.7
Median		2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
n =		280	2	86	131	33	14	67	197
TRUCK STOP/DEPOT	1 - Not at all Important	59%	50%	57%	61%	60%	50%	63%	59%
	2	16%		20%	15%	17%	31%	13%	16%
	3	13%	50%	11%	13%	14%	19%	9%	15%
	4	8%		11%	8%	6%		11%	7%
	5 - Very Important	3%		2%	4%	3%		4%	3%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		1.8	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8
Median		1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0
n =		295	2	92	137	35	16	70	206

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
PROFESSIONAL OFFICES	1 - Not at all Important	14%	50%	14%	14%	14%	6%	13%	15%
	2	14%		18%	10%	14%	13%	10%	15%
	3	32%		27%	35%	28%	19%	31%	33%
	4	24%	50%	26%	22%	33%	50%	23%	23%
	5 - Very Important	16%		14%	19%	11%	13%	23%	14%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	2.5	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.5	3.3	3.1
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
n =		294	2	91	136	36	16	70	206
AGRICULTURAL OPERATIONS	1 - Not at all Important	6%	50%	3%	5%	6%		4%	6%
	2	9%		9%	9%	6%	7%	7%	9%
	3	27%		27%	30%	22%	33%	30%	25%
	4	31%	50%	32%	29%	39%	47%	31%	30%
	5 - Very Important	28%		29%	28%	28%	13%	27%	28%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.7	2.5	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.6
Median		4.0	2.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		288	2	91	132	36	15	70	201

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
MINI-STORAGE	1 - Not at all Important	42%	50%	34%	50%	28%	53%	36%	43%
	2	31%	50%	36%	26%	39%	47%	33%	29%
	3	20%		25%	17%	22%		17%	23%
	4	5%		4%	5%	8%		10%	3%
	5 - Very Important	2%		1%	2%	3%		3%	1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		1.9	1.5	2.0	1.8	2.2	1.5	2.1	1.9
Median		2.0	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
n =		288	2	92	132	36	15	69	202
RESTAURANTS/BARS	1 - Not at all Important	11%	50%	6%	12%	11%	6%	13%	11%
	2	9%		10%	6%	11%	19%	13%	7%
	3	26%		25%	29%	30%	13%	24%	27%
	4	35%		40%	35%	30%	19%	31%	37%
	5 - Very Important	20%	50%	19%	18%	19%	44%	20%	18%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	3.0	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.3	3.4
Median		4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		301	2	93	139	37	16	71	211

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
CONTRACTOR YARDS	1 - Not at all Important	34%		26%	39%	39%	50%	32%	34%
	2	26%		31%	23%	21%	25%	29%	26%
	3	27%	100%	31%	27%	24%	19%	23%	29%
	4	10%		8%	9%	15%	6%	14%	9%
	5 - Very Important	2%		5%	2%			3%	3%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.2	3.0	2.3	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.3	2.2
Median		2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0
n =		282	2	88	131	33	16	66	198
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS & OFFICES	1 - Not at all Important	21%	50%	20%	22%	19%	19%	24%	19%
	2	17%		13%	19%	17%	6%	14%	19%
	3	26%		31%	25%	22%	50%	17%	28%
	4	23%	50%	22%	20%	31%	6%	21%	25%
	5 - Very Important	13%		14%	14%	11%	19%	24%	9%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.9	2.5	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	2.9
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		296	2	91	137	36	16	71	207

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
OUTDOOR RECREATION	1 - Not at all Important	21%		7%	26%	31%	13%	24%	20%
	2	13%		15%	11%	14%	7%	20%	11%
	3	23%	50%	22%	25%	14%	27%	18%	24%
	4	22%		19%	22%	34%	33%	21%	21%
	5 - Very Important	21%	50%	37%	16%	6%	20%	17%	23%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	4.0	3.6	2.9	2.7	3.4	2.9	3.2
Median		3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
n =		295	2	91	135	35	15	71	206
MANUFACTURING/FABRICATION	1 - Not at all Important	30%	50%	28%	29%	28%	25%	28%	32%
	2	15%	50%	16%	13%	11%	19%	14%	16%
	3	24%		28%	26%	22%	19%	18%	26%
	4	18%		18%	16%	25%	19%	23%	17%
	5 - Very Important	13%		9%	16%	14%	19%	17%	11%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.7	1.5	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.6
Median		3.0	1.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		295	2	92	135	36	16	71	206

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WAREHOUSES & WHOLESALE DISTRIBUTORSHIPS	1 - Not at all Important	34%	50%	35%	31%	32%	38%	28%	35%
	2	21%		21%	23%	8%	19%	23%	20%
	3	21%		27%	20%	27%	19%	18%	22%
	4	15%		12%	15%	24%	6%	18%	15%
	5 - Very Important	9%	50%	5%	12%	8%	19%	13%	7%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.5	3.0	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.4
Median		2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
n =		292	2	92	133	37	16	71	203
HEALTH CARE & PUBLIC FACILITIES	1 - Not at all Important	5%		1%	6%	11%		9%	5%
	2	6%		8%	5%	8%	6%	4%	7%
	3	25%	50%	23%	26%	14%	25%	29%	23%
	4	29%		31%	29%	22%	38%	26%	30%
	5 - Very Important	35%	50%	38%	34%	46%	31%	33%	36%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.8	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.9
Median		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
n =		302	2	93	140	37	16	70	213

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SMALLER FRANCHISE/CHAIN STORES	1 - Not at all Important	16%		13%	14%	18%	13%	18%	16%
	2	10%		10%	11%	8%	6%	10%	10%
	3	20%		18%	21%	16%	38%	18%	18%
	4	28%		29%	27%	34%	25%	29%	29%
	5 - Very Important	26%	100%	30%	27%	24%	19%	26%	28%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.4	5.0	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4
Median		4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
n =		302	2	93	139	38	16	73	210
OTHER	2	12%		33%				33%	9%
	3	18%			29%	50%			18%
	4	18%		33%	14%		50%		18%
	5 - Very Important	53%		33%	57%	50%	50%	67%	55%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		4.1	.	3.7	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.2
Median		5.0	.	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
n =		17	0	6	7	2	2	3	11

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
HIGHEST PRIORITY	Small, independently owned retail stores	23%		16%	31%	17%	38%	17%	25%
	Health care & public facilities	16%		14%	18%	23%	6%	14%	18%
	'Big Box' commercial retail development	9%	50%	10%	7%	11%		14%	7%
	Agricultural operations	9%		12%	4%	17%	13%	13%	7%
	Outdoor recreation	7%		12%	5%	3%	6%	6%	7%
	'Mid Box' commercial retail development	7%		8%	7%	3%	13%	9%	6%
	Restaurants/Bars	6%	50%	7%	5%		6%	4%	6%
	Hotel/Motel	5%		5%	6%	3%		3%	7%
	Smaller franchise/Chain stores	5%		3%	4%	14%	6%	6%	5%
	Government operations & offices	3%		2%	4%			6%	3%
	Professional offices	3%		3%	4%	3%	6%	3%	2%
	Manufacturing/Fabrication	3%		2%	3%	6%	6%	1%	3%
	Other	1%			1%				1%
	Truck stop/Depot	1%		1%				1%	0%
	Warehouses & wholesale distributorships	1%		1%	1%				1%
	Vehicle/Equipment sales	0%		1%					0%
Vehicle/Equipment repair	0%		1%				1%		
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	n =	294	2	91	137	35	16	69	206

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SECOND MOST IMPORTANT	Small, independently owned retail stores	15%		16%	12%	20%	13%	13%	16%
	Smaller franchise/Chain stores	11%		14%	9%	9%	13%	9%	12%
	Health care & public facilities	10%		12%	11%	9%		14%	10%
	Hotel/Motel	10%		10%	11%	3%	19%	7%	9%
	Agricultural operations	10%	50%	4%	12%	6%		10%	10%
	Professional offices	8%		8%	9%	9%		10%	8%
	Outdoor recreation	7%	50%	14%	5%		6%	4%	9%
	Restaurants/Bars	6%		5%	4%	14%	19%	4%	6%
	'Mid Box' commercial retail development	4%		4%	4%	9%	6%	6%	4%
	Government operations & offices	4%		3%	5%	9%	6%	7%	3%
	Vehicle/Equipment repair	3%		1%	4%	3%	6%	3%	2%
	Manufacturing/Fabrication	2%		2%	4%		6%	4%	1%
	'Big Box' commercial retail development	2%		1%	3%			1%	2%
	No additional option chosen	2%			3%	3%	6%	1%	2%
	Truck stop/Depot	1%		2%	1%	3%			2%
	Warehouses & wholesale distributorships	1%			3%				2%
	Contractor yards	1%		1%	1%	3%		1%	1%
	Mini-storage	0%				3%		1%	
Vehicle/Equipment sales	0%						1%		
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	294	2	91	137	35	16	69	206

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
THIRD MOST IMPORTANT	Smaller franchise/Chain stores	15%	50%	12%	17%	18%		18%	15%
	Health care & public facilities	13%	50%	15%	10%	9%	27%	16%	10%
	Restaurants/Bars	11%		18%	9%	3%	27%	10%	10%
	Small, independently owned retail stores	10%		9%	8%	9%	7%	9%	10%
	Agricultural operations	7%		4%	8%	12%		3%	8%
	Outdoor recreation	6%		7%	6%	9%	7%	3%	7%
	Hotel/Motel	6%		5%	6%	9%		6%	6%
	'Mid Box' commercial retail development	6%		7%	5%	3%		4%	6%
	Professional offices	5%		3%	6%		7%	6%	4%
	Manufacturing/Fabrication	5%		3%	5%	9%		4%	5%
	Government operations & offices	4%		8%	2%	3%	7%	4%	4%
	Vehicle/Equipment repair	3%		2%	3%	6%	7%	6%	2%
	'Big Box' commercial retail development	3%			4%	6%	13%	1%	2%
	Warehouses & wholesale distributorships	3%		2%	4%			3%	3%
	No additional option chosen	2%		2%	3%				3%
	Truck stop/Depot	2%		1%	2%	3%		3%	1%
	Contractor yards	1%		1%	1%				1%
	Industrial storage	0%				3%		1%	
Other	0%			1%			1%		
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	288	2	91	133	34	15	68	202

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

RANK IMPORTANCE OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENTS TO THE COMMUNITY		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
TOP THREE PRIORITIES	Small, independently owned retail stores	48%		42%	50%	46%	56%	39%	50%
	Health care & public facilities	39%	50%	42%	39%	40%	31%	45%	37%
	Smaller franchise/Chain stores	31%	50%	30%	30%	40%	19%	32%	32%
	Agricultural operations	25%	50%	21%	23%	34%	13%	26%	25%
	Restaurants/Bars	23%	50%	30%	18%	17%	50%	19%	23%
	Hotel/Motel	21%		21%	23%	14%	19%	16%	22%
	Outdoor recreation	20%	50%	33%	16%	11%	19%	13%	23%
	'Mid Box' commercial retail development	17%		19%	15%	14%	19%	19%	16%
	Professional offices	16%		14%	19%	11%	13%	19%	15%
	'Big Box' commercial retail development	13%	50%	11%	14%	17%	13%	17%	12%
	Government operations & offices	12%		13%	12%	11%	13%	17%	10%
	Manufacturing/Fabrication	10%		8%	12%	14%	13%	10%	9%
	Vehicle/Equipment repair	6%		4%	7%	9%	13%	10%	4%
	Warehouses & wholesale distributorships	5%		3%	7%			3%	6%
	Truck stop/Depot	4%		4%	3%	6%		4%	4%
	Contractor yards	2%		2%	1%	3%		1%	2%
	Other	1%			2%			1%	1%
	Vehicle/Equipment sales	1%		1%				1%	0%
	Industrial storage	0%				3%		1%	
Mini-storage	0%				3%		1%		
TOTAL		294%	300%	298%	291%	294%	288%	297%	293%
	n =	294	2	91	137	35	16	69	206

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

AVAILABILITY OF HOUSING TYPES IN STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
HOUSING DIVERSITY TO SUIT DIFFERENT NEEDS & TASTES	Too little	14%	50%	17%	14%	14%	20%	18%	13%
	Just about right	75%	50%	67%	80%	77%	60%	70%	77%
	Too much	11%		16%	6%	9%	20%	12%	10%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	301	2	93	139	35	15	73	210
AFFORDABLE HOUSING	Too little	24%	50%	28%	21%	33%	38%	34%	19%
	Just about right	67%	50%	60%	72%	61%	56%	53%	73%
	Too much	9%		13%	6%	6%	6%	14%	8%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	305	2	94	141	36	16	74	212
MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING	Too little	21%	50%	21%	20%	24%	6%	27%	20%
	Just about right	69%	50%	70%	69%	73%	75%	67%	69%
	Too much	10%		9%	11%	3%	19%	5%	12%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	305	2	94	140	37	16	73	213

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

AVAILABILITY OF HOUSING TYPES IN STRASBURG		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING	Too little	5%	50%	7%	3%		13%	11%	2%
	Just about right	78%	50%	76%	81%	86%	75%	71%	80%
	Too much	17%		17%	17%	14%	13%	18%	18%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	301	2	94	139	35	16	73	210
MOBILE HOME PARKS	Too little	4%	50%	1%	4%	5%		8%	2%
	Just about right	59%		59%	62%	54%	56%	56%	60%
	Too much	37%	50%	40%	34%	41%	44%	36%	38%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	307	2	94	143	37	16	75	213
ASSISTED LIVING FOR SENIOR CITIZENS	Too little	41%	50%	42%	42%	35%	53%	49%	37%
	Just about right	59%	50%	58%	58%	62%	47%	49%	63%
	Too much	1%				3%		1%	0%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	300	2	91	142	37	15	73	209

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

COMMUNICATION		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
HOW CAN STRASBURG BEST REACH YOU	The I-70 Scout	87%	50%	87%	88%	85%	73%	83%	90%
	Direct mailings	54%	100%	47%	56%	58%	47%	51%	55%
	Create a community newsletter	31%	50%	25%	33%	43%	33%	38%	29%
	E-mail	23%	50%	39%	19%	15%	33%	28%	21%
	Internet/Web site	21%		19%	27%	5%	7%	20%	23%
	Flyers	12%		10%	14%	13%	13%	11%	12%
	Parks & Recreation publication	8%		15%	4%	8%	13%	5%	9%
	Radio	4%	50%	1%	3%	5%		5%	3%
	Other	1%		1%	1%			1%	0%
TOTAL		240%	300%	244%	246%	230%	220%	242%	242%
	n =	314	2	93	147	40	15	76	220
DO YOU HAVE INTERNET ACCESS	Yes, at home	84%	100%	91%	86%	63%	75%	76%	88%
	Yes, at work/school	47%	50%	57%	51%	7%	44%	43%	50%
	No	11%		4%	8%	29%	19%	13%	8%
TOTAL		142%	150%	153%	145%	100%	138%	133%	146%
	n =	314	2	94	146	41	16	76	219

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW WOULD YOU BUDGET \$100 OF TAXPAYER REVENUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
CODE ENFORCEMENT	Average	\$5	\$5	\$4	\$5	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
ZONING, CONSTRUCTION, PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT	Average	\$5	\$3	\$5	\$6	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
FIRE & EMERGENCY SERVICES	Average	\$15	\$10	\$10	\$16	\$15	\$10	\$14	\$15
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
LAW ENFORCEMENT	Average	\$12	\$10	\$10	\$14	\$11	\$10	\$11	\$13
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
PARKS, TRAILS & OPEN SPACES	Average	\$8	\$3	\$10	\$9	\$4	\$12	\$5	\$9
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION	Average	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$5	\$4	\$2
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION	Average	\$4	\$3	\$3	\$4	\$7	\$6	\$5	\$3
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
RECREATION	Average	\$8	\$15	\$10	\$9	\$3	\$7	\$7	\$9
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
SCHOOLS	Average	\$18	\$11	\$23	\$16	\$18	\$15	\$17	\$19
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
SIDEWALKS/STREET LIGHTING/LANDSCAPING	Average	\$6	\$23	\$7	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$7	\$5
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
STREET MAINTENANCE	Average	\$8	\$8	\$7	\$8	\$9	\$6	\$9	\$8
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS	Average	\$6	\$0	\$6	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$8	\$6
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
OTHER	Average	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$1	\$1	\$1
	n =	283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW WOULD YOU BUDGET \$100 OF TAXPAYER REVENUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON CODE ENFORCEMENT (ANIMAL CONTROL, WEEDS, NOISE, ETC.)	\$0	44%	50%	45%	47%	38%	31%	41%	46%
	\$1 - \$10	48%	50%	51%	45%	51%	56%	51%	47%
	\$11 - \$20	5%		2%	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%
	\$21 - \$30	2%		1%	2%	3%	6%	1%	2%
	\$41 - \$50	1%			1%	3%		1%	1%
	\$91 - \$100	0%		1%					1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$5	\$5	\$4	\$5	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5
Median		\$3	\$5	\$2	\$2	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$2
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON ZONING, CONSTRUCTION, PLANNING, AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES	\$0	42%	50%	41%	42%	46%	50%	39%	42%
	\$1 - \$10	49%	50%	52%	49%	43%	38%	54%	48%
	\$11 - \$20	6%		6%	5%	8%	6%	4%	7%
	\$21 - \$30	1%			2%				1%
	\$41 - \$50	2%		1%	3%	3%	6%	3%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$5	\$3	\$5	\$6	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5
Median		\$5	\$3	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$3	\$5	\$5
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW WOULD YOU BUDGET \$100 OF TAXPAYER REVENUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES	\$0	15%	50%	19%	12%	16%	25%	13%	16%
	\$1 - \$10	39%		44%	38%	30%	50%	45%	35%
	\$11 - \$20	31%	50%	33%	32%	32%	19%	28%	33%
	\$21 - \$30	10%		5%	12%	16%		11%	10%
	\$31 - \$40	2%			2%	5%	6%		3%
	\$41 - \$50	2%			3%			1%	2%
	\$91 - \$100	1%			2%			1%	1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$15	\$10	\$10	\$16	\$15	\$10	\$14	\$15
Median		\$10	\$10	\$10	\$12	\$15	\$10	\$10	\$10
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON LAW ENFORCEMENT	\$0	21%	50%	20%	18%	30%	19%	24%	21%
	\$1 - \$10	40%		47%	37%	32%	50%	41%	38%
	\$11 - \$20	29%	50%	31%	28%	32%	31%	30%	29%
	\$21 - \$30	8%		2%	14%	5%		6%	10%
	\$31 - \$40	1%			2%				1%
	\$41 - \$50	1%			2%				1%
	\$91 - \$100	0%			1%				1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$12	\$10	\$10	\$14	\$11	\$10	\$11	\$13
Median		\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW WOULD YOU BUDGET \$100 OF TAXPAYER REVENUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON PARKS, TRAILS, AND OPEN SPACE	\$0	35%	50%	20%	38%	51%	13%	41%	34%
	\$1 - \$10	46%	50%	58%	41%	43%	63%	48%	45%
	\$11 - \$20	12%		14%	14%	5%	13%	11%	13%
	\$21 - \$30	3%		5%	2%		6%		4%
	\$31 - \$40	0%			1%				1%
	\$41 - \$50	2%		4%	2%		6%		3%
	\$51 - \$60	0%			1%				1%
	\$91 - \$100	0%			1%				1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$8	\$3	\$10	\$9	\$4	\$12	\$5	\$9
Median		\$5	\$3	\$6	\$5	\$0	\$10	\$5	\$5
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION	\$0	66%	50%	65%	65%	65%	63%	52%	71%
	\$1 - \$10	28%	50%	26%	29%	32%	31%	35%	25%
	\$11 - \$20	5%		8%	5%	3%		13%	3%
	\$21 - \$30	0%		1%					1%
	\$51 - \$60	0%			1%		6%		
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$5	\$4	\$2
Median		\$0	\$3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW WOULD YOU BUDGET \$100 OF TAXPAYER REVENUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION	\$0	66%	50%	66%	66%	65%	56%	61%	69%
	\$1 - \$10	24%	50%	27%	24%	19%	25%	28%	23%
	\$11 - \$20	7%		6%	7%	11%	13%	10%	5%
	\$21 - \$30	1%			2%		6%		1%
	\$31 - \$40	0%		1%					1%
	\$41 - \$50	1%			1%	3%			2%
	\$91 - \$100	0%				3%		1%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$4	\$3	\$3	\$4	\$7	\$6	\$5	\$3
Median		\$0	\$3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON RECREATION	\$0	37%	50%	24%	38%	59%	38%	41%	35%
	\$1 - \$10	45%		49%	44%	38%	38%	46%	44%
	\$11 - \$20	11%		16%	10%	3%	25%	6%	11%
	\$21 - \$30	4%	50%	7%	2%			1%	5%
	\$31 - \$40	1%			2%			1%	1%
	\$41 - \$50	3%		4%	3%			4%	3%
	\$91 - \$100	0%			1%				1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$8	\$15	\$10	\$9	\$3	\$7	\$7	\$9
Median		\$5	\$15	\$5	\$5	\$0	\$5	\$5	\$5
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW WOULD YOU BUDGET \$100 OF TAXPAYER REVENUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON SCHOOLS	\$0	21%		8%	25%	30%	25%	28%	18%
	\$1 - \$10	22%	50%	19%	26%	19%	19%	23%	21%
	\$11 - \$20	30%	50%	36%	27%	30%	38%	21%	34%
	\$21 - \$30	14%		21%	13%	11%	13%	14%	15%
	\$31 - \$40	2%		4%	2%	3%		4%	2%
	\$41 - \$50	6%		6%	5%	3%	6%	7%	5%
	\$51 - \$60	0%							1%
	\$61 - \$70	0%							1%
	\$71 - \$80	1%		2%	2%				2%
	\$81 - \$90	0%		1%				1%	
	\$91 - \$100	1%		2%		5%		1%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$18	\$11	\$23	\$16	\$18	\$15	\$17	\$19
Median		\$15	\$11	\$20	\$10	\$20	\$15	\$10	\$20
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON SIDEWALKS/ STREET LIGHTING/ LANDSCAPING	\$0	42%		32%	45%	51%	50%	31%	46%
	\$1 - \$10	46%	50%	54%	43%	38%	31%	54%	44%
	\$11 - \$20	8%		9%	7%	11%	13%	14%	6%
	\$21 - \$30	3%		5%	4%		6%	1%	4%
	\$31 - \$40	1%	50%		1%				1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$6	\$23	\$7	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$7	\$5
Median		\$5	\$23	\$5	\$2	\$0	\$3	\$5	\$3
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

HOW WOULD YOU BUDGET \$100 OF TAXPAYER REVENUE		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON STREET MAINTENANCE/ SNOW REMOVAL	\$0	31%		33%	32%	35%	44%	27%	33%
	\$1 - \$10	50%	100%	56%	45%	49%	50%	58%	46%
	\$11 - \$20	15%		8%	19%	14%		11%	18%
	\$21 - \$30	2%		2%	2%		6%	1%	3%
	\$31 - \$40	0%			1%				1%
	\$41 - \$50	1%			1%			1%	1%
	\$91 - \$100	0%				3%		1%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$8	\$8	\$7	\$8	\$9	\$6	\$9	\$8
Median		\$5	\$8	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS	\$0	47%	100%	46%	48%	51%	50%	38%	51%
	\$1 - \$10	35%		39%	35%	32%	38%	37%	34%
	\$11 - \$20	15%		14%	15%	14%	13%	21%	12%
	\$21 - \$30	1%			2%	3%		3%	1%
	\$31 - \$40	1%		1%	1%			1%	1%
	\$41 - \$50	0%			1%				1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$6	\$0	\$6	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$8	\$6
Median		\$5	\$0	\$5	\$5	\$0	\$3	\$5	\$0
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193
AMOUNT YOU WOULD SPEND ON OTHER	\$0	93%	100%	94%	93%	95%	94%	87%	95%
	\$1 - \$10	5%		5%	4%	3%		11%	3%
	\$11 - \$20	1%			3%		6%	1%	1%
	\$21 - \$30	0%		1%					1%
	\$91 - \$100	0%				3%			1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$1	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$1	\$1	\$1
Median		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
n =		283	2	85	130	37	16	71	193

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
IF A COMMUTER ROUTE BUS OR SHUTTLE SERVICE TO DENVER WERE AVAILABLE, WHAT WOULD BE THE LIKELIHOOD YOU WOULD USE THE SERVICE	1 - Definitely not use	21%	50%	19%	22%	20%	13%	22%	21%
	2 - Probably not use	27%		22%	25%	40%	13%	23%	30%
	3 - Maybe	21%	50%	22%	20%	23%	19%	25%	21%
	4 - Probably use	19%		22%	21%	8%	25%	17%	19%
	5 - Definitely use	12%		14%	13%	10%	31%	13%	10%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.7	2.0	2.9	2.8	2.5	3.5	2.8	2.7
Median		3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0
n =		312	2	94	143	40	16	77	216
IF A COMMUTER ROUTE BUS OR SHUTTLE SERVICE TO DENVER WERE AVAILABLE, HOW FREQUENTLY	Less than once a month	17%		19%	16%	28%	25%	16%	16%
	Monthly	17%		12%	16%	17%		18%	19%
	Weekly	26%		20%	30%	28%	50%	20%	25%
	Daily	22%		36%	22%		17%	30%	20%
	Uncertain	18%	100%	14%	16%	28%	8%	16%	19%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
n =		175	1	59	82	18	12	44	118
OVERALL, WHAT IS YOUR OPINION OF YOUR CURRENT DAILY COMMUTE	1 - Extremely Dislike	5%		3%	7%		15%	3%	5%
	2 - Somewhat Dislike	13%		14%	13%	13%	15%	19%	11%
	3 - Neutral	42%	50%	43%	37%	53%	38%	44%	42%
	4 - Somewhat Enjoy	24%		27%	26%	20%	31%	22%	24%
	5 - Definitely Enjoy	12%	50%	10%	14%	3%		11%	13%
	6 - Don't know/uncertain	3%		2%	3%	10%			4%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.2	4.0	3.3	3.3	3.1	2.8	3.2	3.3
Median		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		283	2	89	136	27	13	72	196

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF A SPECIAL DISTRICT FUNDED THROUGH PROPERTY TAXES TO:		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
FUND TRANSIT SERVICES	1 - Definitely not support	37%	50%	36%	34%	38%	25%	44%	35%
	2 - Probably not support	17%	50%	11%	21%	19%	19%	18%	17%
	3 - Neutral	15%		21%	11%	19%	25%	10%	16%
	4 - Probably support	20%		23%	19%	22%	13%	21%	20%
	5 - Definitely support	11%		10%	15%	3%	19%	8%	11%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.5	1.5	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.8	2.3	2.5
Median		2.0	1.5	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
n =		299	2	92	138	37	16	73	207
FUND ROAD & INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS	1 - Definitely not support	16%		11%	16%	19%	13%	22%	14%
	2 - Probably not support	12%	50%	8%	16%	11%	19%	8%	13%
	3 - Neutral	29%		32%	33%	19%	38%	29%	29%
	4 - Probably support	34%		37%	27%	43%	25%	32%	35%
	5 - Definitely support	9%	50%	12%	8%	8%	6%	8%	9%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		3.1	3.5	3.3	2.9	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.1
Median		3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		295	2	91	135	37	16	72	204

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF A SPECIAL DISTRICT FUNDED THROUGH PROPERTY TAXES TO:		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
CONSOLIDATE SERVICES OF EXISTING DISTRICTS	1 - Definitely not support	23%		14%	25%	31%	13%	29%	22%
	2 - Probably not support	14%	50%	7%	22%	8%	27%	14%	13%
	3 - Neutral	37%	50%	47%	33%	25%	47%	28%	39%
	4 - Probably support	21%		28%	14%	31%	13%	28%	19%
	5 - Definitely support	5%		5%	6%	6%		1%	7%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.7	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.8
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
n =		273	2	87	122	36	15	69	186
MANAGE DEVELOPMENT	1 - Definitely not support	18%		11%	18%	29%	13%	30%	14%
	2 - Probably not support	14%	50%	11%	18%	9%	13%	13%	14%
	3 - Neutral	32%	50%	39%	28%	26%	25%	23%	35%
	4 - Probably support	27%		29%	27%	31%	38%	28%	27%
	5 - Definitely support	9%		10%	9%	6%	13%	6%	10%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.9	2.5	3.2	2.9	2.8	3.3	2.7	3.0
Median		3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0
n =		284	2	90	129	35	16	69	196
OTHER	1 - Definitely not support	38%		33%	42%	29%		50%	38%
	2 - Probably not support	18%			26%	14%		10%	23%
	3 - Neutral	23%		33%	11%	29%		30%	19%
	4 - Probably support	5%			11%		33%		4%
	5 - Definitely support	18%		33%	11%	29%	67%	10%	15%
TOTAL		100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.5	.	3.0	2.2	2.9	4.7	2.1	2.3
Median		2.0	.	3.0	2.0	3.0	5.0	1.5	2.0
n =		40	0	9	19	7	3	10	26

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
IN WHICH COUNTY IS YOUR RESIDENCE LOCATED	Arapahoe County	35%		29%	36%	32%	19%	37%	36%
	Adams County	65%	100%	71%	64%	68%	81%	63%	64%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	315	2	94	146	41	16	78	218
IS YOUR RESIDENCE LOCATED WITHIN THE STRASBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT - 31J BOUNDARIES	Yes	95%	100%	98%	92%	100%	94%	93%	96%
	No	3%		1%	6%			3%	3%
	Don't know	2%		1%	3%		6%	4%	1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	312	2	94	143	41	16	76	217
IS YOUR RESIDENCE LOCATED WITHIN THE STRASBURG PLANNING AREA BOUNDARY	Yes	70%	50%	68%	70%	80%	69%	65%	71%
	No	23%		25%	24%	15%	19%	28%	22%
	Don't know	7%	50%	8%	6%	5%	13%	7%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	308	2	93	142	40	16	72	217

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
How long have you lived in the Strasburg area?	Less than a year	3%	50%	3%	2%	2%	6%	4%	2%
	1-5 years	28%		43%	19%	20%	31%	34%	25%
	6-15 years	39%	50%	36%	43%	34%	44%	32%	41%
	More than 15 years	31%		17%	36%	44%	19%	30%	32%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		14.6	7.5	10.3	14.6	24.5	9.2	14.5	14.9
Median		9.0	7.5	6.5	10.0	12.0	6.5	9.0	9.0
n =		311	2	92	144	41	16	76	216

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
DO YOU OWN OR RENT YOUR RESIDENCE	Own	94%	50%	95%	96%	90%	94%	89%	95%
	Rent	3%		1%	3%	8%	6%	5%	2%
	Other	2%		3%	1%	3%		3%	2%
	Staying with friends or family	1%	50%	1%				3%	0%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	311	2	91	145	40	16	76	216

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHAT TYPE OF PROPERTY DO YOU CURRENTLY LIVE IN AND/OR OWN IN STRASBURG	Single-family house	72%	50%	70%	74%	73%	69%	68%	73%
	Farmland or ranch	24%	100%	26%	28%	7%	25%	22%	24%
	Mobile home	5%		4%	3%	10%		8%	5%
	Other	5%		4%	6%	5%	6%	7%	5%
	Apartment/condo/townhouse/duplex	4%		2%	3%	10%	6%	4%	3%
	Vacant land	2%		2%	2%	2%		5%	1%
TOTAL		112%	150%	109%	115%	107%	106%	114%	111%
	n =	313	2	92	145	41	16	76	218

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
HOW SOON WOULD YOU BE LIKELY TO MOVE OUT OF STRASBURG	Not planning on moving	71%	100%	69%	69%	88%	44%	65%	75%
	1 year	2%		1%	1%	2%	6%	5%	
	5 years	8%		10%	8%	2%	19%	9%	6%
	10 years	6%		6%	6%		13%	1%	6%
	20 years	2%		2%	1%			4%	1%
	Don't know	13%		12%	14%	7%	19%	15%	12%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	313	2	94	144	41	16	78	216

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
How many people live in your household?	Single person	15%		4%	13%	37%	13%	21%	12%
	Two people	42%		16%	57%	59%	20%	45%	43%
	Three people	16%	100%	20%	15%		13%	10%	18%
	Four people	15%		33%	8%	2%	33%	15%	14%
	More than 5 people	12%		27%	7%	2%	20%	9%	12%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		2.7	3.0	3.7	2.4	1.8	3.5	2.5	2.7
Median		2.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0
n =		313	2	94	145	41	15	78	217

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
How many members of your household are under age 18?	None	55%		15%	73%	94%	29%	64%	54%
	1 person	18%	100%	20%	16%	6%	29%	13%	18%
	2 people	16%		36%	7%		29%	12%	16%
	3 people	9%		23%	2%		7%	7%	9%
	4 or more	3%		5%	2%		7%	3%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		.9	1.0	1.8	.5	.1	1.4	.7	.9
Median		.0	1.0	2.0	.0	.0	1.0	.0	.0
n =		278	2	91	128	31	14	67	195
How many members of your household are over age 65?	None	80%	100%	98%	94%	3%	93%	75%	81%
	1 person	10%		1%	5%	45%		12%	10%
	2 people	10%		1%	1%	53%	7%	13%	9%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		.3	.0	.0	.1	1.5	.1	.4	.3
Median		.0	.0	.0	.0	2.0	.0	.0	.0
n =		281	2	88	128	38	14	68	197

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
How many members of your household are currently employed?	None	16%			7%	82%	7%	20%	15%
	1 person	35%	50%	35%	40%	15%	60%	30%	35%
	2 people	44%	50%	62%	47%	3%	13%	46%	46%
	3 people	4%		2%	4%		13%	4%	3%
	4 or more	1%		1%	1%		7%		1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		1.4	1.5	1.7	1.5	.2	1.5	1.3	1.4
Median		1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	.0	1.0	1.5	2.0
n =		306	2	91	144	39	15	76	213

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
How many members of your household are currently enrolled in school in Strasburg?	None	64%	50%	28%	78%	97%	47%	74%	61%
	1 person	17%	50%	24%	13%	3%	20%	11%	18%
	2 people	13%		30%	7%		27%	10%	14%
	3 people	5%		14%	2%			3%	6%
	4 or more	1%		3%			7%	1%	0%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		.6	.5	1.4	.3	.0	1.0	.5	.7
Median		.0	.5	1.0	.0	.0	1.0	.0	.0
n =		294	2	92	135	39	15	70	207

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHAT IS YOUR EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	62%	50%	78%	68%	5%	73%	54%	64%
	Retired	17%			9%	80%	13%	21%	16%
	Self-employed	8%		7%	9%	8%		10%	7%
	Employed part-time	7%	50%	9%	6%	5%		9%	7%
	Not employed	5%		4%	6%	3%	13%	4%	4%
	Own a business in Strasburg	2%		2%	3%			3%	2%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	310	2	92	145	40	15	78	215
HOW DO YOU PRIMARILY TRAVEL TO WORK	Car/truck - travel alone	87%	100%	90%	86%	71%	80%	85%	88%
	Car/truck - carpool	9%		8%	10%		20%	11%	7%
	Telecommute/work from home	2%			3%	14%			2%
	Walk/bike	1%			1%			4%	1%
	Other	1%		1%		14%			1%
	Public transportation	0%			1%				1%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	234	2	84	119	7	10	55	168

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
HOW MANY MILES IS YOUR DAILY COMMUTE (ONE WAY)	5 or less	14%		12%	15%	40%	18%	13%	15%
	6 - 10	10%		13%	7%	20%		15%	9%
	11 - 15	3%	50%	1%	3%		9%	4%	2%
	16 - 20	2%		2%	2%			4%	1%
	21 - 25	4%		2%	4%			4%	4%
	26 - 30	14%	50%	14%	14%		9%	15%	14%
	31 - 35	13%		15%	14%			15%	14%
	36 - 40	16%		16%	17%		27%	11%	16%
	41 - 45	10%		8%	10%	20%	18%	6%	10%
	46 - 50	7%		8%	7%	20%	18%	7%	6%
	More than 50	8%		8%	9%			7%	9%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		30.6	22.5	30.9	31.5	21.4	31.7	28.0	31.4
Median		35.0	22.5	35.0	35.0	10.0	40.0	30.0	35.0
n =		228	2	86	115	5	11	54	162

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHAT TIME DO YOU TYPICALLY LEAVE YOUR HOUSE FOR WORK	Before 0500	4%	50%	1%	5%			6%	4%
	500.00	5%		2%	7%		9%	4%	5%
	515.00	2%		2%	2%			2%	2%
	520.00	0%		1%					1%
	530.00	7%	50%	4%	9%			10%	7%
	545.00	2%		1%	4%				3%
	550.00	0%			1%				1%
	600.00	18%		18%	18%		36%	14%	18%
	615.00	2%		4%	1%			4%	1%
	630.00	6%		6%	7%	33%	9%	14%	4%
	635.00	0%			1%				1%
	645.00	4%		1%	4%			4%	4%
	700.00	14%		21%	11%		18%	12%	15%
	715.00	0%			1%				1%
	720.00	1%			3%			2%	1%
	725.00	0%			1%				1%
	730.00	10%		10%	8%	33%	18%	6%	10%
	745.00	3%		4%	1%			2%	3%
	750.00	0%			1%				1%
	800.00	7%		12%	4%		9%	6%	7%
	830.00	1%			3%			2%	1%
	840.00	0%			1%				1%
	845.00	0%		1%				2%	
	900.00	2%			4%				3%
After 0930	8%		11%	4%	33%		12%	8%	
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Average	716.8	480.0	755.5	678.0	863.3	653.6	720.8	719.8	
Median	645.0	480.0	700.0	630.0	730.0	630.0	630.0	700.0	
n =	220	2	82	114	3	11	51	158	

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHAT TIME DO YOU TYPICALLY GET HOME	Before 1430	6%		6%	4%			8%	5%
	1500.00	5%	50%	6%	4%			4%	6%
	1515.00	0%		1%					1%
	1530.00	3%		1%	5%			8%	2%
	1545.00	0%		1%					1%
	1600.00	13%		11%	14%		30%	8%	14%
	1630.00	7%		9%	4%			8%	7%
	1640.00	0%		1%					1%
	1645.00	1%		1%	1%				1%
	1700.00	18%		20%	16%	50%	20%	26%	15%
	1705.00	0%			1%				1%
	1710.00	0%			1%				1%
	1715.00	0%			1%				1%
	1730.00	10%		9%	10%	50%	20%	6%	10%
	1800.00	16%		16%	17%		30%	12%	17%
	1815.00	1%			3%				2%
	1830.00	4%		1%	6%			8%	3%
	1840.00	0%			1%				1%
	1850.00	0%			1%				1%
	1900.00	6%		5%	7%			4%	7%
After 1930	6%	50%	9%	3%			8%	5%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Average		1679.0	1750.0	1658.8	1693.7	1715.0	1706.0	1665.9	1681.5
Median		1700.0	1750.0	1700.0	1702.5	1715.0	1715.0	1700.0	1700.0
n =		213	2	79	112	2	10	50	153

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHERE IS YOUR PLACE OF PRIMARY EMPLOYMENT	Aurora	23%	50%	18%	28%		25%	22%	23%
	Strasburg	23%		24%	20%	29%	17%	26%	22%
	Other Denver area	20%		22%	18%			21%	21%
	Other	15%		21%	13%	14%	33%	16%	14%
	Downtown Denver	6%		8%	7%		17%	2%	7%
	Bennett	4%	50%	4%	3%	14%		5%	4%
	Denver Tech Center	4%		2%	7%		8%	3%	4%
	Work from home	3%			4%	29%		3%	4%
	Byers	1%			1%				1%
	E-470 Corridor	0%				14%		2%	
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	240	2	90	122	7	12	58	169

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
WHERE DO YOU PRIMARILY GO TO PURCHASE GOODS AND SERVICES	Aurora	79%	100%	76%	78%	88%	63%	85%	78%
	Bennett	75%		67%	79%	76%	50%	78%	75%
	Strasburg	51%		39%	56%	54%	31%	46%	54%
	Byers	31%		15%	34%	56%	31%	28%	33%
	E-470 Corridor	21%		32%	20%	10%	19%	16%	23%
	Other Denver area	13%		13%	11%	15%	19%	13%	13%
	Other	5%		3%	7%	7%	13%	5%	5%
	Downtown Denver	2%		3%	2%	2%	6%	4%	1%
	Denver Tech Center	1%		1%	1%			3%	1%
TOTAL		278%	100%	248%	287%	307%	231%	277%	282%
	n =	318	2	95	148	41	16	79	220

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
ARE YOU A REGISTERED VOTER	Yes	93%	50%	91%	96%	93%	88%	92%	94%
	No	7%	50%	9%	4%	7%	13%	8%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	315	2	94	146	41	16	76	220
GENDER	Male	48%	100%	45%	50%	54%	53%	53%	46%
	Female	52%		55%	50%	46%	47%	47%	54%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	309	2	92	147	39	15	75	218

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
HOUSEHOLD STATUS	Couple with children	36%	50%	72%	23%	2%	53%	29%	38%
	Couple, empty nester	29%		2%	45%	49%	13%	25%	31%
	Couple, no children	12%		12%	12%	15%	13%	15%	12%
	Single, no children	10%		4%	10%	17%	13%	14%	7%
	Single with children	7%	50%	11%	5%		7%	8%	6%
	Single, empty nester	6%			6%	17%		9%	6%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	314	2	95	146	41	15	79	217

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
AGE OF RESPONDENT	Under 35	10%	100%	29%			21%	12%	9%
	35 - 44	23%		71%			29%	25%	22%
	45 - 54	29%			56%		21%	29%	30%
	55 - 64	23%			44%		29%	16%	25%
	65 - 74	9%				66%		12%	9%
	75 or older	5%				34%		7%	4%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		51.1	21.5	37.4	54.0	73.4	45.7	51.0	51.3
Median		51.0	21.5	39.0	53.0	71.0	44.0	49.0	51.0
n =		286	2	95	148	41	14	69	201

30 Mar 09
Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

DEMOGRAPHICS		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
GROSS HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Under \$25,000	10%	50%	1%	6%	35%	13%	14%	7%
	\$25-49,999	16%		16%	16%	24%		24%	15%
	\$50-74,999	25%	50%	23%	27%	27%	20%	24%	26%
	\$75-99,999	25%		29%	27%	8%	27%	22%	27%
	\$100-149,999	19%		23%	20%	5%	27%	14%	21%
	\$150-199,999	2%		5%	1%			1%	3%
	\$200-249,999	1%		1%	1%			1%	1%
	\$250,000 or more	1%		1%	1%		13%		0%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Average		\$79,021	\$38,750	\$89,973	\$82,608	\$45,135	\$111,167	\$68,056	\$81,064
Median		\$62,500	\$38,750	\$87,500	\$87,500	\$37,500	\$87,500	\$62,500	\$87,500
n =		291	2	92	139	37	15	72	202

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates

**2009 STRASBURG COMMUNITY SURVEY
Final Results**

<i>DEMOGRAPHICS</i>		OVERALL	AGE OF RESPONDENT				QUALITY OF LIFE RATING		
			Under 24	25 - 44	45 - 64	55 or older	Poor/not so good	Average	Good/excellent
DID YOU REPLY TO THE PARKS & RECREATION DISTRICT SURVEY CONDUCTED IN 2005/06	Yes	25%		27%	28%	16%	20%	20%	27%
	No	48%	100%	51%	46%	39%	67%	54%	45%
	Don't know	27%		22%	26%	45%	13%	26%	28%
TOTAL		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	n =	306	2	94	144	38	15	76	214

30 Mar 09

Source: RRC Associates